



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

3APPELLANT: John Ulrich  
DOCKET NO.: 12-03375.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 11-2-10-17-02-201-010

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Ulrich, the appellant, and the Madison County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the **Madison** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$7,240  
**IMPR.:** \$0  
**TOTAL:** \$7,240

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property consists of a vacant 1± acre parcel of land located in Edwardsville, Hamel Township, Madison County.

The appellant claims overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal and completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data concerning the recent purchase of the subject property.

The appraisal depicts an estimated market value conclusion of \$12,500 as of December 14, 2012. The appellant John Ulrich reported the purchase of the subject parcel on January 24, 2013 from the previous owners for a price of \$12,500. The parties to the transaction were not related, no Realtor(s) were involved in the transaction and the property was not advertised for sale prior to the transaction. Also submitted was an incomplete and

unrecorded copy of the first page of a PTAX-203 Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration which reported the "date of deed/trust document" as "2011" with a sale price of \$12,500. A copy of the Settlement Statement reiterated the sale date of January 17, 2013 and the sale price of \$12,500, although this document also is not signed or dated. The copy of the Sale Contract reflects the agreement was made on January 2, 2013 for a total purchase price of \$12,500.

The evidence further revealed that the appellant filed the appeal directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board following the issuance to the previous property owners, Marvin H. and Lois Marie Sander, of a notice of a township equalization factor of .9812 issued by the board of review reducing the assessment of the subject property from \$7,380 to \$7,240. A copy of the board of review notice submitted by the appellant indicated the equalized assessment reflected an estimated market value of \$21,720. Based on this evidence the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to reflect a market value of \$12,500.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject property's final equalized assessment of \$7,240 was disclosed. After reviewing the appellant's evidence, the board of review stated that Hamel Township had a "negative factor" in 2012 and further argued the Property Tax Appeal Board did not have jurisdiction.

The appellant was notified of this dismissal motion and responded thereto reiterating that the property was overvalued based on the January 24, 2013 purchase price and further cited to the appraisal for support as to the correct value of the property.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board finds the appellant timely filed the appeal from the assessment notice issued by the board of review reducing the assessment of the subject by the application of an equalization factor of .9812, which conferred jurisdiction on this Board.

Based upon the evidence submitted, the Board finds that a change in the subject's assessment is not warranted. The record disclosed that the appellant appealed the assessment directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board based on notice of a township equalization factor of .9812 issued by the board of review

reducing the assessment of the subject from \$7,380 to \$7,240. Since the appeal was filed after notification of an equalization factor, the amount of relief that the Property Tax Appeal Board may grant is limited by rule and statute. Section 1910.60(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board states in part:

If the taxpayer or owner of property files a petition within 30 days after the postmark date of the written notice of the application of final, adopted township equalization factors, the relief the Property Tax Appeal Board may grant is limited to the amount of the **increase caused by the application of the township equalization factor.** 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.60(a). [Emphasis added.]

Additionally, section 16-180 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-180) provides in pertinent part:

Where no complaint has been made to the board of review of the county where the property is located and the appeal is based solely on the effect of an equalization factor assigned to all property or to a class of property by the board of review, **the Property Tax Appeal Board may not grant a reduction in the assessment greater than the amount that was added as the result of the equalization factor.** [Emphasis added.]

These provisions mean that where a taxpayer files an appeal directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board after notice of application of an equalization factor, the Board cannot grant an assessment reduction greater than the amount of increase caused by the equalization factor. Villa Retirement Apartments, Inc. v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 302 Ill.App.3d 745, 753 (4<sup>th</sup> Dist. 1999). Neither the Board's rule nor the Property Tax Code provide that the Property Tax Appeal Board may further reduce an assessment where a "negative" equalization factor has been applied by the board of review lowering the pre-equalized assessment.

Based on a review of the evidence contained in the record, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the township equalization factor applied by the board of review reduced the assessment rather than causing the assessment to increase. On the basis of these facts, the Board finds it has no authority to further reduce the assessment of the subject property beyond the 2012 equalized assessment as established by the board of review.

In conclusion, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not appropriate and the appellant does not have standing for this appeal.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Ronald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fern*

Member

*Tracy A. Huff*

Member

*Mario Morris*

Member

*J.R.*

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 21, 2014

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.