



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: James P. Sheehy
DOCKET NO.: 11-20300.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 11-32-319-005-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James P. Sheehy, the appellant, by attorney John P. Fitzgerald of the Fitzgerald Law Group, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND: \$16,720
IMPR.: \$58,508
TOTAL: \$75,228**

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a three-story, multi-family building of masonry construction. The building is approximately 97 years old and contains 6,732 square feet of living area. Features of the building include six apartment units, a full basement finished with an apartment, and a four-car garage. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 residential property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance and is located in Chicago, Rogers Park Township, Cook County.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on three comparable properties described as three-story, multi-family buildings. Two of the comparables have masonry exterior construction, but the exterior construction of the remaining comparable was not provided. The comparable properties have the same assigned neighborhood code as the subject and are located from 0.6 to 0.9 of a mile from the subject. The comparable buildings range in age from 81 to 97 years and contain from 7,080 to 12,684 square feet of living area. Two buildings have six apartment units, and one building has three apartment units. Each comparable has a garage; however, the appellant did not provide any information on the comparables' foundations. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$56,051 to

\$101,875 or from \$7.82 to \$8.03 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$58,508 or \$8.69 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$52,914 or \$7.86 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$75,228 was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on three comparable properties described as three-story, multi-family buildings of masonry construction. The comparable properties all have the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject, and they are located one-quarter mile from the subject. The comparable buildings range in age from 92 to 100 years and contain from 6,162 to 6,729 square feet of living area. The board of review did not disclose the number of apartment units in each comparable building. Two buildings have full unfinished basements, and one building has a full basement finished with an apartment. Two comparables have garages. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$54,569 to \$65,909 or from \$8.11 to \$10.30 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of six equity comparables. The appellant's comparables #1 and #3 had considerably more living area than the subject and received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The Board finds the appellant's comparable #2 and the board of review's comparables were very similar to the subject in location, design, exterior construction, and age and were relatively similar in living area. Additionally, the board of review's comparable #1 was the same age as the subject and was nearly identical to the subject in living area, and the board of review's comparables had full basements like the subject. Due to their similarities to the subject, these four comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$56,051 to \$65,909 or from \$7.92 to \$10.30 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$58,508 or \$8.69 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the most similar comparables. After

considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: October 18, 2013

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.