



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Ricky Sminchak  
DOCKET NO.: 11-05454.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 06-03.0-241-001

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ricky Sminchak, the appellant; and the St. Clair County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the **St. Clair** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 2,596  
**IMPR:** \$ 2,021  
**TOTAL:** \$ 4,617

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the St. Clair County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2011 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story frame dwelling with 944 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1957. The property has 7,840 square feet of land area. The subject property is located in Centerville Township, St. Clair County, Illinois.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four suggested comparable sales. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The comparables sold from August 2010 to October 2011 for prices ranging from \$7,000 to \$12,500 or from \$6.52 to \$14.47 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of \$4,617. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$13,786 or \$14.60 per square foot of living area including land when applying the 2011 three-year average median level of assessment for St. Clair County of 33.49% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

The board of review claimed the comparables sales submitted by the appellant were not "qualified", but provided no further explanation in support of this contention. In support of its assessment, the board of review submitted information on three suggested comparable sales. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The comparables sold from December 2010 to July 2011 for prices ranging from \$19,000 to \$28,535 or from \$17.99 to \$31.29 per square foot of living area including land.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted seven suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board finds both parties' comparable

sales are similar when compared to the subject in location, design, age, size and most features. These comparables sold for prices ranging from \$7,000 to \$28,535 or from \$6.52 to \$31.29 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$13,786 or \$14.60 per square foot of living area including land, which falls within the range established by the similar comparable sales contained in this record. After considering any necessary adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's assessed valuation is supported. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Ronald R. Crit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fan*

Member

*Richard A. Huff*

Member

*Mario M. Lino*

Member

*J. R.*

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 21, 2014

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.