



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Gary Mikhaylov
DOCKET NO.: 10-35250.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 04-03-108-012-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Gary Mikhaylov, the appellant(s); and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$19,861
IMPR.: \$85,069
TOTAL: \$84,930

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of 20,907 square feet of land that is improved with a five year old, two-story, masonry dwelling that contains 5,907 square feet of living area. The subject contains four and one-half baths, a full unfinished basement, air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a three-car garage. The appellant argued that the fair market value of the subject was not accurately reflected in its assessed value.

In support of the market value argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal undertaken by Aleksandr Smagorinskiy. The report states that Smagorinskiy is a State of Illinois certified residential real estate appraiser. The appraiser stated that the subject has an estimated market value of \$950,000 as of January 1, 2010. The appraisal report utilized the sales comparison

approach to value to estimate the market value for the subject property. The appraisal states that Smagorinskiy personally inspected the property, and that the subject's highest and best use as improved is its present use.

Under the sales comparison approach, the appraiser analyzed the sales of three comparables, which are described as two-story, frame or masonry dwellings, which range in age from 2 to 59 years old, and in improvement size from 4,316 to 5,337 square feet of living area. These comparables all have a full finished basement, four and one-half baths, air conditioning, either a two-car or a three-car garage, and either one or two fireplaces. The properties sold from May 2009 to October 2009 for prices ranging from \$870,000 to \$952,000, or from \$163.01 to \$210.84 per square foot of living area. The appraiser adjusted each of the comparables for pertinent factors. Based on the similarities and differences of the comparables when compared to the subject, the appraiser estimated a value for the subject under the sales comparison approach of \$950,000. Based on this evidence, the appellant requests a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$111,261 was disclosed. The subject's final assessment reflects a fair market value of \$1,244,530 when the 2010 Illinois Department of Revenue three-year median level of assessment for Class 2 properties of 8.94% is applied.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four suggested comparables located within a quarter-mile of the subject. The properties consist of two-story, masonry or stucco, single-family dwellings with various amenities. These properties range: in age from 2 to 7 years; in size from 5,008 to 5,457 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$17.92 to \$18.52 per square foot of living area. Comparable #1 sold in May 2007 for \$1,655,000 or \$303.28 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. When overvaluation is claimed the appellant has the burden of proving the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3d Dist. 2002); Winnebago

County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 313 Ill.App.3d 179 (2d Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal, a recent arm's length sale of the subject property, recent sales of comparable properties, or recent construction costs of the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.65(c). Having considered the evidence presented, the Board concludes that the evidence indicates a reduction is warranted.

In determining the fair market value of the subject property, the Board finds the best evidence to be the appellant's appraisal. The appellant's appraiser utilized the sales comparison approach to value in determining the subject's market value. The Board finds this appraisal to be persuasive because the appraiser personally inspected the subject property and reviewed the property's history, and used similar properties in the sales comparison approach while providing adjustments that were necessary. The Board gives little weight to the board of review's comparables as the information provided was unadjusted raw sales data.

Therefore, the Board finds the subject had a market value of \$950,000 for the 2010 assessment year. Since the market value of this parcel has been established, the 2010 Illinois Department of Revenue three-year median level of assessment for Class 2 property of 8.94% will apply. In applying this level of assessment to the subject, the total assessed value is \$84,930 while the subject's current total assessed value is above this amount. Therefore, the Board finds that a reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 24, 2014



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.