



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Mark Richter  
DOCKET NO.: 10-30060.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 17-06-437-010-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mark Richter, the appellant; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND: \$ 11,050  
IMPR: \$ 57,893  
TOTAL: \$ 68,943**

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property consists of two dwellings situated on one parcel containing 3,250 square feet of area. Building #1 is a 122 year old, 2,024 square foot, two-story, masonry, multi-family dwelling. Its improvement size equates to an improvement assessment of \$14.75 per square foot of building area. Building #2 consists of a 116 year old, two-story, multi-family dwelling of masonry exterior construction containing 1,872 square feet of living area. This equates to an improvement assessment of \$14.98 per square foot of building area. The appellant argued that there was unequal treatment in the assessment process of the subject's improvement as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted descriptive and assessment information for four properties suggested as comparable to the subject's Building #1. The comparables are described as two-story or three-story, masonry, multi-family dwellings. Additionally, the comparables range: in age from 111 to 121 years; in size from 2,208 to 2,772 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$14.83 to \$18.27 per square foot of living area. The comparables also have various amenities. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's Building #1 assessment. No equity data was submitted for Building #2.

The Cook County Board of Review submitted its "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal," wherein the subject's total improvement assessment of \$57,893 was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted descriptive and assessment information for five properties suggested as comparable to the subject's Building #1. The comparables are described as two-story or three-story, masonry, multi-family dwellings. Additionally, the comparables range: in age from 103 to 121 years; in size from 3,091 to 3,726 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$11.75 to \$15.11 per square foot of living area. The comparables also have several amenities.

The comparables for Building #2 consist of two or three-story, masonry, multi-family dwellings that are between 11 and 122 years old. The comparables range in size from 3,055 to 3,700 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$15.65 to \$18.45 per square foot. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board (the "Board") finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of this appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Walsh v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998) (citing Kankakee Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 131 Ill. 2d 1 (1989)); 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.63(e). To succeed in an appeal based on lack of uniformity, the appellant must submit documentation "showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property." Cook Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 403 Ill. App. 3d 139, 145 (1st Dist. 2010); 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.65(b). "[T]he critical consideration is not the number of allegedly similar properties, but whether they are in fact 'comparable' to the subject property." Cook Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 403 Ill. App. 3d at 145 (citing DuPage Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 284 Ill. App. 3d 649, 654-55 (2d Dist. 1996)). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds that the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds that Comparables #1, #2 and #4 submitted by the appellant were most similar to the subject's Building #1 in location, size, style, exterior construction and age. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$15.31 to \$18.27 per square foot of living area. The subject's Building #1 improvement assessment of \$14.75 per square foot of living area

is below the range established by the most similar comparables. Therefore, after considering adjustments and differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds that the subject's Building #1 improvement assessment is equitable, and a reduction is not warranted.

Although the subject property consists of two buildings, the appellant failed to submit any evidence regarding Building #2's improvement assessment. Therefore, the Board finds that the board of review's comparables are most similar to the subject in design, exterior construction, improvement size, and/or amenities. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$15.65 to \$18.45 per square foot. The subject's Building #2 improvement assessment of \$14.98 per square foot is below this range. After considering adjustments and the differences in the suggested comparables when compared to the subject property's Building #2, the Board finds its per square foot improvement assessment is supported by the most comparable properties contained in the record and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Donald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fern*

Member

*Frank A. Huff*

Member

*Mario Morris*

Member

*J. R.*

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 23, 2013

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.