



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Walter & Gwendolyn Rogers
DOCKET NO.: 10-23456.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 31-04-208-007-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Walter & Gwendolyn Rogers, the appellant(s); and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,979
IMPR.: \$17,948
TOTAL: \$22,927

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 12,448 square foot parcel of land improved with a three-year old, two-story, frame and masonry, single-family dwelling containing 3,780 square feet of living area. The appellants argued that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed value.

In support of this overvaluation argument the appellants submitted a copy of the settlement statement showing property sold in October 2010 for \$227,000. Section 700 of the settlement statement lists real estate broker fees. The appellants also included a copy of the real estate contract for the sale and listed on the petition that the properties was not a transfer between related parties, was advertised for sale and included the help of a realtor in the sale. Based on this evidence the appellant requests a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's assessment of \$31,439 was disclosed. This assessment reflects a market value of \$311,277

using the Illinois Department of Revenue's 2010 three year median level of assessment for class 2 property of 10.10%.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four properties suggested as comparable. The properties are described as two-story, frame and masonry, single-family dwellings. The properties range: in age from one to three years; in size from 3,761 to 3,789 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$6.46 to \$8.54 per square foot of living area. These properties sold from May to August 2008 for prices ranging from \$349,000 to \$520,000. The evidence also lists the previous sale of the subject in February 2007 for \$600,000. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the testimony, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

When overvaluation is claimed the appellant has the burden of proving the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002); Winnebago County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 313 Ill.App.3d 179 (2nd Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal, a recent arm's length sale of the subject property, recent sales of comparable properties, or recent construction costs of the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.65(c). Having considered the evidence presented, the PTAB concludes that the evidence indicates a reduction is warranted.

The PTAB finds the best evidence of the subject's market value is the sale of the subject in March 2010 for \$227,000. The evidence shows the subject was listed on the open market, was not a transfer between related parties, and that realtors were involved in the sale which supports the arm's length nature of this sale. The PTAB given diminished weight to the board of review's sales comparables as the sales occurred two years prior to the sale of the subject and did not establish that the same market existed at the time of the lien date.

Based on this record the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject property had a market value of \$227,000 for the 2010 assessment year. Since market value has been determined, the 2010 three year median level of assessment of 10.10% for class 2 property as established by the Illinois department of Revenue shall apply and a reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

[Signature]

Member

[Signature]

Member

[Signature]

Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 22, 2013

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.