



**AMENDED
FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Joan Park
DOCKET NO.: 10-21539.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-30-102-045-1002

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Joan Park, the appellant; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND: \$6,164
IMPR.: \$17,080
TOTAL: \$23,244**

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 1,055 square foot residential condominium unit. The subject has 35% ownership in the subject condominium building. The appellant argued that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in the property's assessed valuation as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the market value argument, the appellant submitted a residential appraisal summary report of the subject property with an effective date of November 11, 2010. The appraiser inspected the subject property and estimated a market value of \$260,000 based upon the sales comparison approach to value.

The board of review submitted "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's total assessment of \$38,799 was disclosed. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$430,638 using the Illinois Department of Revenue median level of assessment for class 2, residential property of 8.94% for tax year 2010.

In addition, the board of review submitted a memo from Dan Michaelides, Cook County Board of Review Analyst. The memorandum indicates that one of the units located in the subject condominium building and identified by PIN 14-30-102-045-1001 sold in July 2006 for \$329,000. This unit has 27.00% ownership of the subject condominium building. An allocation of 2% for personal property was deducted resulting in an adjusted purchase price for the unit of \$322,420. This amount was divided by its percentage of ownership of 27.00% to arrive at a total market value for the building of \$1,194,148. The market value of the building as a whole was multiplied by the subject's percentage of ownership of 35.00% resulting in a market value for the subject unit of \$417,952. Based on this evidence, the board requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, the appellant submitted a copy of the previously submitted appraisal.

After considering the arguments and reviewing the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

When overvaluation is claimed the appellant has the burden of proving the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002); Winnebago County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 313 Ill.App.3d 179 (2nd Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal, a recent arm's length sale of the subject property, recent sales of comparable properties, or recent construction costs of the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.65(c). Having considered the evidence presented, the Board concludes that the appellant has met this burden and that a reduction is warranted.

In determining the fair market value of the subject property, the Board thoroughly considered the parties' evidence and finds the best evidence to be the appellant's appraisal. The Board finds this appraisal to be persuasive for the appraiser inspected the subject property and developed the sales comparison approach to value in estimating the subject's market value. Moreover, market data was used to obtain improved sale comparables while providing sufficient detail regarding each sale as well as appropriate adjustments, where necessary.

Therefore, the Board finds that the subject property contained a market value of \$260,000 for tax year 2010. Since the market value of the subject has been established, the median level of assessment as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue for class 2, residential property of 8.94% will apply. Therefore, the Board finds that a reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

Frank J. Huff

Member

Mark Morris

Member

JR

Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 24, 2013

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.