



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Richard Saldringer  
DOCKET NO.: 10-02135.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 16-32-209-014

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Richard Saldringer, the appellant, by attorney Mitchell L. Klein, of Schiller Klein PC in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$53,276  
**IMPR:** \$241,850  
**TOTAL:** \$295,126

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject parcel is improved with a 2-story dwelling of frame construction. The dwelling contains approximately 3,530 square feet of living area and was built in 2006. It features a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage containing 483 square feet. The dwelling is located in Deerfield, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on three comparable properties described as 2-story dwellings of brick or frame construction. The dwellings were built from 2003 to 2007 and range in size from 3,531 to 3,906 square feet of living area. The comparables feature full unfinished basements, central air conditioning, 1 or 2 fireplaces and garages that range in size from 724 to 852 square feet. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$219,505 to \$240,606 or from \$58.21 to \$67.40 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$241,850 or \$68.51 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review presented descriptions and information on four comparable properties with improvements built in 2003 or 2006. They consist of 2-story frame dwellings ranging in size from 3,415 to 4,196 square feet of living area. All comparables feature full unfinished basements, central air conditioning, fireplaces and garages that range in size from 420 to 726 square feet. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$237,999 to \$278,125 or from \$66.28 to \$71.80 per square foot of living area. The board of review comparable #3 is the same property as the appellant's comparable #3. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal the appellant cites differences between the subject and the board of review comparables in baths, garage area and basement size.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Property Tax Appeal Board further finds the evidence in the record does not support a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds comparable #2 submitted by the appellant and comparable #1 submitted by the board of review were larger than the subject. Therefore these comparables received less weight in the Board's analysis. The Board further finds appellant's comparables #1 and #3 and the board of review comparables #2, #3 and #4 were most similar to the subject in size, style, exterior construction, features and age. They have improvement assessments ranging from \$219,505 to \$259,122 or from \$58.21 to \$71.80 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$241,850 or \$68.51 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by these comparables. After considering adjustments and differences in both parties' comparables, the Board finds the subject's assessment is equitable and no reduction is warranted.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor

Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the appellant disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. For the foregoing reasons, the Board finds that the appellant has not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject property is inequitably assessed. Therefore, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject's assessment as established by the board of review is correct and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 19, 2013



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.