



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Michael Skaff
DOCKET NO.: 10-01667.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-23-214-033

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Michael Skaff, the appellant; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the DuPage County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$12,500
IMPR: \$103,980
TOTAL: \$116,480

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a two-story townhouse of frame and masonry construction containing 2,418 square feet of living area. The home was built in 2007. Features include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 440 square foot two-car garage. The property is located in Milton Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. In support of this overvaluation argument the appellant submitted a settlement statement and a Multiple Listing Service (MLS) sheet for the recent sale of the subject property. In addition, the appellant completed Section IV-Recent Sale Data of the Residential Appeal form. The documents revealed that the subject property was purchased in May 2010 for \$350,000. The evidence also revealed that the subject was exposed to the market by a realtor through a Multiple Listing Service for 104 days. The sale was not a transfer between family or related parties. Based on this evidence the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to reflect its sale price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$165,770 was disclosed. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$498,107 using DuPage County's 2010 three-year median level of assessments of 33.28%.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted six suggested comparable sales located in the same neighborhood code as the subject as assigned by the local assessor. The comparables were described as two-story townhouse dwellings of frame and masonry construction containing 2,072 or 2,418 square feet of living area. The homes were built from 2007 to 2009. The comparables feature full basements, two of which have finished area. Other features include central air conditioning, a fireplace and an attached 440 square foot garage. The sales occurred from October 2006 to January 2008 for prices ranging from \$495,000 to \$615,113 or from \$212.70 to \$296.87 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review also submitted the Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration for the subject and argued that the subject's May 2010 sale was a short sale. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds the evidence in the record supports a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c)). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record is the sale of the subject property in May 2010 for \$350,000. The Illinois Supreme Court has defined fair cash value as what the property would bring at a voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is ready, willing and able to buy but not forced to do so. Springfield Marine Bank v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 44 Ill.2d. 428, (1970). A contemporaneous sale of property between parties dealing at arm's-length is a relevant factor in determining the correctness of an assessment and may be practically conclusive on the issue of whether an assessment is reflective of market value. Rosewell v. 2626 Lakeview Limited

Partnership, 120 Ill.App.3d 369 (1st Dist. 1983), People ex rel. Munson v. Morningside Heights, Inc, 45 Ill.2d 338 (1970), People ex rel. Korzen v. Belt Railway Co. of Chicago, 37 Ill.2d 158 (1967); and People ex rel. Rhodes v. Turk, 391 Ill. 424 (1945).

The Board finds the evidence of the subject's May 2010 sale being a short sale and the board of review's sales occurring greater than 23 months prior to the subject's January 1, 2010 assessment date does not overcome the arms-length transaction of the subject property. Therefore, the board of review's evidence was given little weight. The subject was sold by a realtor after 104 days of market exposure time through a multiple listing service; the transfer was not between family or related corporations; and there is no evidence of duress by either party associated with the transaction. The Board finds the subject's sale meets the key fundamental elements of an arms-length transaction. The Board further finds the subject's assessment reflects a market value greater than its sale price. Based on this record the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$350,000 as of January 1, 2010 and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified. Since fair market value has been established, the three-year median level of assessment for DuPage County of 33.28% shall apply.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 19, 2013

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.