



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Isaiah Jones  
DOCKET NO.: 09-34624.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 19-26-420-041-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Isaiah Jones, the appellant, by attorney Richard Shapiro in Evanston, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **no change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$19,130  
**IMPR.:** \$30,180  
**TOTAL:** \$49,310

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2009 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story, mixed-use and multi-family building of masonry construction. The building is 44 years old and has 12,604 square feet of building area. Features of the building include a commercial unit, an apartment unit, a concrete slab foundation and central air conditioning.

The property has a 22,506 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-12 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables; however, the appellant did not provide any information on the comparables' foundations.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$49,310. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$30,180 or \$2.39 per square foot of building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables.

#### Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of six suggested comparables. The appellant's comparables were located from 3.3 to 5.0 miles from the subject property, and two of the appellant's comparables were considerably older than the subject. Additionally, the appellant did not provide any information on the comparables' foundations. Consequently, the Board gave little weight to the appellant's evidence.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be board of review comparables #1 and #2. These comparables were very similar to the subject in location, design, exterior construction, age, and foundation. Moreover, comparable #1 was also very similar to the subject in building area. Comparables

#1 and #2 had improvement assessments of \$3.12 and \$2.34 per square foot of building area, respectively.<sup>1</sup> The subject's improvement assessment of \$2.39 per square foot of building area falls between the improvement assessments of the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

---

<sup>1</sup> Board of review comparable #1 has a 33% prorated assessment of \$1.04 per square foot of building area, indicating an assessment at 100% of \$3.12 per square foot of building area.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 20, 2014



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.