



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Rezny Realty Inc.
DOCKET NO.: 09-34576.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 15-34-421-035-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Rezny Realty Inc., the appellant(s), by attorney Richard Edward Zulkey, of Richard E. Zulkey & Associates, Chtd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 3,124
IMPR.: \$ 50,847
TOTAL: \$ 53,971

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2009 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board (the "Board") finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject consists of two improvements. Improvement #1 is a two-story dwelling of masonry construction with 5,460 square feet of living area. Improvement #1 is 112 years old. Features of Improvement #1 include a partial unfinished basement, central air conditioning, and a two-car garage. Improvement #2 is a two-story dwelling of masonry construction with 1,722 square feet of living area. Improvement #2 is 113 years old. Features of Improvement #2 include a partial unfinished basement. The

property has a 5,985 square foot site, and is located in Brookfield, Proviso Township, Cook County. Improvement #1 is classified as a class 2-12 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. Improvement #2 is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating Improvement #1 had a market value of \$360,000 as of March 3, 2009. The appraisal did not discuss Improvement #2.

The appellant also seeks a general reduction due to the "economic recession," for an unspecified amount.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$65,906. Improvement #1's improvement assessment is \$40,851. Improvement #2's improvement assessment is \$21,931. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$740,517 when applying the 2009 three year average median level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 8.90% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment for Improvement #1, the board of review submitted information on two equity comparables. In support of its contention of the correct assessment for Improvement #2, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value for Improvement #1 to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant. Improvement #1's assessment reflects a market value above the best evidence of market value in the record. The Board finds

Improvement #1 had a market value of \$360,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Since market value has been established the 2009 three year average median level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 8.90% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2).

The Board finds that no evidence was submitted to support a reduction for Improvement #2. Therefore, Improvement #2's improvement assessment shall be retained.

The Board further finds that the subject is not entitled to a general reduction due to the "economic recession." The appellant provided no evidence to support how the "economic recession" impacted the subject's market value directly, if at all. Moreover, any impact the "economic recession" had on the subject's market value would be reflected in the sales comparison approach in the appellant's appraisal. Therefore, the Board finds this argument is not supported by any evidence, and is without merit.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Crit

Chairman

K. L. Fan

Member

Richard A. Huff

Member

JR

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 23, 2015

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.