



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: James McCormick
DOCKET NO.: 09-32787.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-33-124-038-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are James McCormick, the appellant, by attorney Mitchell L. Klein, of Schiller Klein PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$11,325
IMPR.: \$47,439
TOTAL: \$58,764

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 1,148 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 41 years old. Features of the home include a full basement, central air conditioning, and a one-car detached garage. There is a finished recreation room in the basement. The property has a 1,510 square foot site and is located in Chicago, North Chicago Township, Cook County.

The appellant's appeal is based on assessment equity. The appellant submitted information on three comparable properties described as two-story dwellings of masonry construction that ranged in size from 1,088 to 1,238 square feet of living area. The dwellings ranged in age from 35 to 44 years old. Each comparable has the same neighborhood code as the subject property. Features of the comparables include a full basement with recreation room finish and central air conditioning. Two of the comparables have a fireplace. One comparable has a one-car garage and one comparable has a two-car garage. The

comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$37,802 to \$38,662 or from \$31.23 to \$34.74 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$47,439 or \$41.32 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$37,850 or \$32.97 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties improved with two-story dwellings of masonry construction. All four of the board of review's comparables have 1,148 square feet of living area, the same as the subject property. The comparables are all 41 years old. Each comparable has the same neighborhood code as the subject and is located in the same block and on the same street as the subject property. Features of the comparables include a full basement, central air conditioning, basement recreation room and a one-car garage. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$47,821 to \$49,590 or from \$41.66 to \$43.20 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessments by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989); 86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.63(e). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds the board of review comparables are the most similar to the subject in location, size, style, exterior construction, features and age. The comparables are all the same size, construction and age as the subject property. Amenities such as finished basement, air conditioning, and one-car garage are the same as found in the subject property. Due

to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$41.66 to \$43.20 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$41.32 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement assessment was inequitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

Tracy A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

JR

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 21, 2014

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.