



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Salim Ani
DOCKET NO.: 09-32534.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-34-201-024-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Salim Ani, the appellant(s), by attorney John K. Norris, of Rubin & Norris in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$22,897
IMPR.: \$43,853
TOTAL: \$66,750

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 12,900 square foot parcel of land improved with a 101-year old, frame, single-family dwelling. The appellant argued that the fair market value of the subject was not accurately reflected in its assessed value as the basis of the appeal.

In support of the market value argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal undertaken by Susan C. Mustari with USM Appraisals, Inc. The report indicates Mustari is a State of Illinois certified residential appraiser. The appraisal indicated an estimated market value of \$750,000 as of December 23, 2009. The appraisal report utilized the sales comparison approach to value to estimate the market value for the subject property.

In summarizing the subject property, the appraisal describes the subject as a three-story dwelling containing 3,044 square feet of living area. The appraisal indicates the property was personally inspected on December 23, 2009. The appraisal found the subject's highest and best use to be its present use.

Under the sales comparison approach, the appraiser analyzed the sales of six properties described as two-story, masonry, frame or frame and masonry, single-family dwellings located within the subject's market. The properties contain between 2,677 to 3,140 square feet of living area and sold from March to October 2009 for prices ranging from \$525,000 to \$825,000 or \$176.53 to \$289.50 per square foot of living area, including land. The appraiser adjusted each of the comparables for pertinent factors. Based on the similarities and differences of the comparables when compared to the subject, the appraiser estimated a value for the subject under the sales comparison approach of \$750,000.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$88,824 was disclosed. The subject's final assessment reflects a fair market value of \$998,022 when the Illinois Department of Revenue's 2009 three-year median level of assessment of 8.9% for Cook County Class 2 properties is applied.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four properties suggested as comparable. The properties are described as two-story, frame or frame and masonry, single-family dwellings. The suggested comparables range: in age from 91 to 119 years; in size from 2,848 to 3,311 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$20.32 to \$30.65 per square foot of living area. Comparable #1 sold in September 2006 for \$1,325,000. The board of review also listed the sale of the subject in August 2007 for \$935,000. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellant's attorney submitted a letter asserting that the evidence supports a reduction.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

When overvaluation is claimed the appellant has the burden of proving the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002); Winnebago County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 313 Ill.App.3d 179 (2nd Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal, a recent arm's length sale of the subject property, recent sales of comparable properties, or recent construction costs of the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.65(c). Having considered the market value evidence presented, the PTAB concludes that this evidence indicates a reduction is warranted.

In determining the fair market value of the subject property, the PTAB finds the best evidence to be the appellant's appraisal. The appellant's appraiser utilized the cost and sales comparison

approaches to value in determining the subject's market value. The PTAB finds this appraisal to be persuasive for the appraiser: has experience in appraising; personally inspected the subject property and reviewed the property's history; and used similar properties in the sales comparison approach while providing sufficient detail regarding each sale as well as adjustments that were necessary. The PTAB gives little weight to the board of review's evidence as the documentation is merely assessment or raw sales data. In addition, the PTAB gives less weight to the sale of the subject in 2007 as the appellant's appraisal is closer in time to the lien date.

Therefore, the PTAB finds the subject had a market value of \$750,000 for the 2009 assessment year. Since the market value of this parcel has been established, the Illinois Department of Revenue's 2009 three-year median level of assessment of 8.9% for Cook County Class 2 properties will apply. In applying this level of assessment to the subject, the total assessed value is \$66,750 while the subject's current total assessed value is above this amount. Therefore, the PTAB finds that a reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Marko M. Louie

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 19, 2013

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.