



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Peter Breen
DOCKET NO.: 09-31817.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-28-214-009-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Peter Breen, the appellant, by attorney James E. Doherty, of Thomas M. Tully & Associates in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND: \$ 33,775
IMPR.: \$ 148,281
TOTAL: \$ 182,056**

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction. The dwelling is 70 years old and contains 4,298 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a slab foundation, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a two-car attached garage. The subject is classified as a class 2-06 residential property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance and is located in Kenilworth, New Trier Township, Cook County.¹

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process and contention of law. The appellant submitted information on five suggested comparable properties described as two-story dwellings of frame, masonry, or frame and masonry construction. The comparable properties have the same assigned classification and neighborhood codes as the subject. The comparable dwellings are from 70 to 107 years old and contain from 3,296 to 4,710 square feet of living area. Two dwellings have full finished basements, and three have full unfinished basements. Each comparable has a garage; four dwellings have central air conditioning; and four dwellings have two or three fireplaces. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging

¹ Class 2-06 is a two or more story residence, over 62 years of age, 2,201 to 4,999 square feet.

from \$108,188 to \$148,659 or from \$29.95 to \$34.67 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$171,227 or \$39.84 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$125,251 or \$29.14 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$205,002 was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four suggested comparable properties consisting of two-story dwellings of frame and masonry construction. The comparable properties have the same assigned neighborhood code as the subject, and three have the same assigned classification code. One of the comparables is said to be located one-quarter mile from the subject property. The dwellings are from 73 to 86 years old and contain from 2,056 to 4,817 square feet of living area. One dwelling has a full finished basement, and three have unfinished basements, either full or partial. Each comparable has one or two fireplaces; three comparables have a garage; and three dwellings have central air conditioning. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$108,857 to \$275,342 or from \$35.93 to \$57.16 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has met this burden.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of nine suggested comparables. The subject dwelling and all of the comparables submitted were two-story dwellings; however, all of the comparables had basements that were dissimilar from the subject's slab foundation. The Board finds that the board of review's comparables #1 through #3 were smaller in size than the subject, and comparable #4 was larger. As a result, the board of review's comparables received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The appellant's comparable #1 was larger in size, and comparable #3 was much older and smaller than the subject. As a result, these comparables also received reduced weight.

The Board finds the appellant's comparables #2, #4, and #5, despite differing in foundation, were very similar to the subject in size and age. Due to their similarities to the subject, these

three comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$132,249 to \$148,659 or from \$29.95 to \$34.67 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$171,227 or \$39.84 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the most similar comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is not equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 22, 2012

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.