



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: GP22, LLC
DOCKET NO.: 09-31458.001-C-1 through 09-31458.003-C-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are GP22, LLC, the appellant(s), by attorney Edward M. Burke, of Klafter & Burke in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
09-31458.001-C-1	19-03-420-041-0000	13,356	99,062	\$112,418
09-31458.002-C-1	19-03-420-043-0000	8,856	7,021	\$15,877
09-31458.003-C-1	19-03-420-048-0000	147,351	564,634	\$711,985

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2009 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a free standing retail building and a store front building. The buildings were constructed in 2007 and they contain a total of 24,255 square feet of building

area. The property has a 52,229 square foot site and is located in Lake Township, Cook County. The property is a class 5-17 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$2,980,000 as of January 1, 2009.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$840,280. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$3,361,120 or \$138.57 per square foot of building area, including land, when applying the 2009 level of assessments for class 5 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 25%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted five suggested comparable sales of free standing retail buildings. The comparables range in size from 20,180 to 28,185 square feet of building area. They have sale prices that ranged from \$3,572,500 to \$8,000,000 or from \$152.98 to \$283.84 per square foot of building area including land.

At hearing, the appellant's attorney reviewed the previously submitted appraisal. The board of review's representative objected to the submission of the appraisal as the appraiser was not present at the hearing. The administrative law judge sustained the objection. The board of review's representative reviewed the previously submitted sale comparables. The board of review's representative stated that the board of review's comparables are more similar in size to the subject than are the sale comparables contained in the appellant's appraisal.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant has not met this

burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds that, as the appraiser was not present to testify, the appraiser's adjustments and conclusions of value are given no weight; however, the Board will consider the appraisal's unadjusted sale comparables. The Board finds that the most similar comparables in the record are the appellant's appraisal's comparables #2, #4 and #5. These properties sold for prices that ranged from \$103.06 to \$144.18 per square foot of building area. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$138.57 per square foot of building area, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in the record. Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 20, 2015



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.