



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Gallagher & Henry
DOCKET NO.: 09-30129.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 27-19-400-001-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Gallagher & Henry, the appellant(s), by attorney John P. Fitzgerald, of Fitzgerald Law Group, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 38,418
IMPR.: \$ 78,302
TOTAL: \$ 116,720

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject has 3,484,700 square feet of land, and is improved with two single-family dwellings. The first improvement is a 52 year old, two-story frame building. It contains 2,492 square feet of living area and has an improvement assessment of \$24.82 per square foot of building area. The second improvement is a 52 year old one-story building containing 586 square feet of living area. The appellant, via counsel, argued that there was unequal treatment in the assessment process of the subject's improvement as the basis of this appeal.

With regard to improvement #1, in support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted descriptive and assessment information for four properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The comparables are described as two-story, frame, single-family dwellings. Additionally, the comparables range: in age from 83 to 121 years; in size from 2,302 to 4,600 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$7.93 to \$24.82 per square foot of living area. The comparables also have various amenities. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

With regard to improvement #2, the appellant did not submit descriptive and assessment information for comparable properties.

The Cook County Board of Review submitted its "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal," wherein the subject's improvement assessment for improvement #1 of \$61,846 was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment for improvement #1, the board of review submitted descriptive and assessment information for two properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The comparables are described as two-story, frame, single-family dwellings. Additionally, the comparables range: in age from 85 to 140 years; in size from 2,714 to 3,098 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$7.19 to \$7.45 per square foot of living area. The comparables also have several amenities. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's improvement assessment.

In support of the improvement #2 assessment, the board of review submitted descriptive and assessment information for two comparable properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The comparables are described as one-story, frame, single-family dwellings. The comparables are 54 and 55 years old with 560 and 581 square feet of living area. The improvement assessments are \$24.88 and \$25.34 per square foot of living area. The comparables also have several amenities. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's improvement assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board (the "Board") finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of this appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Walsh v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998) (citing Kankakee Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 131 Ill. 2d 1 (1989)); 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.63(e). To succeed in an appeal based on lack of uniformity, the appellant must submit documentation "showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property." Cook Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 403 Ill. App. 3d 139, 145 (1st Dist. 2010); 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.65(b). "[T]he critical consideration is not the number of allegedly similar properties, but whether they are in fact 'comparable' to the subject property." Cook Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 403 Ill. App. 3d at 145 (citing DuPage Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 284 Ill. App. 3d 649, 654-55 (2d Dist. 1996)). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds that the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds that with regard to improvement #1, comparable #1 submitted by the appellant, and all of the comparables submitted by the board of review were most similar to the subject in location, size, style, exterior construction, features, and/or

age. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$7.19 to \$24.82 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$24.82 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the most similar comparables. Therefore, after considering adjustments and differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds that the subject's improvement assessment is equitable, and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

With regard to improvement #2, the board of review's comparables #1 and #2 are most similar to the subject when compared to location, style, size, and exterior construction. No other comparables were submitted by the board. The appellant did not submit any comparables. Since only two comparables were offered for consideration, a range cannot be developed. As such, the Board finds that the appellant has not met the burden of clear and convincing evidence, as there is no range of equity comparables with which to compare the subject. Therefore, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment for improvement #2 is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

After considering adjustments and differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds that the subject's improvement assessments are equitable, and a reduction in the assessments are not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 22, 2013

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.