



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Maurice Moore  
DOCKET NO.: 09-29055.001-I-1 through 09-29055.008-I-1  
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Maurice Moore, the appellant, by attorney John P. Fitzgerald, of Fitzgerald Law Group, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
09-29055.001-I-1	24-17-403-009-0000	9,045	202	\$9,247
09-29055.002-I-1	24-17-403-027-0000	5,273	101	\$5,374
09-29055.003-I-1	24-17-403-010-0000	9,045	202	\$9,247
09-29055.004-I-1	24-17-403-011-0000	12,568	1,060	\$13,628
09-29055.005-I-1	24-17-403-023-0000	5,031	9,646	\$14,677
09-29055.006-I-1	24-17-403-024-0000	2,929	3,258	\$6,187
09-29055.007-I-1	24-17-403-025-0000	2,929	4,924	\$7,853
09-29055.008-I-1	24-17-403-026-0000	2,929	5,858	\$8,787

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to Section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2009 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of two buildings which are one-story, masonry, owner-occupied, industrial buildings with a total building area of 5,000 square feet. The property has a 35,140 square foot site and is located in Worth Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 5-93 and 5-17 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$300,000 as of January 1, 2008, while developing the sales comparison approach to value. A physical inspection was conducted on October 14, 2008, which reflected a building size of 5,000 square feet as well as a site size of 35,140 square feet of land.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$86,898. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$347,592 or \$69.52 per square foot of building area, when applying the level of assessment for class 5-90, industrial property, under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 25%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board submitted copies of the subject's property record cards. The board's evidence also included verbiage acknowledging that the evidence should not be construed as an appraisal or an estimate of value, while the data was obtained from sources that were not verified or warranted accurate.

**Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant *met* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is* warranted.


The Board finds the best evidence of market value and building size to be the *appraisal submitted by the appellant*. The Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$300,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Since market value has been established the level of assessment for class 5-90, industrial property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 25% shall apply. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2)).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 22, 2015



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.