



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Michiko Perry
DOCKET NO.: 09-28615.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 15-12-435-007-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Michiko Perry, the appellant, by attorney Christopher G. Walsh, Jr. of Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,080
IMPR.: \$41,509
TOTAL: \$45,589

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with two dwellings on the same parcel. Dwelling #1 is a class 2-11, two-story stucco, four-unit apartment building containing 3,264 square feet of living area¹. The multi-family dwelling is 110 years old and has a full basement finished with an apartment. Dwelling #2 is a class 2-05 two-story dwelling containing 616 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 110 years old and has a 1-car garage.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant did not disclose that there are two dwellings on the subject parcel. The appellant submitted information on four comparable properties described as two-story or three-story frame, masonry or stucco buildings that range in age from 11 to 107 years old. The comparable buildings range in size from 3,165 to 3,538 square feet of living area. Each comparable has from two to four-units in the building. Two comparables have a full basement of which one is finished with a

¹ For purposes of this case, the appellant only provided comparables for dwelling #1.

recreation room and one comparable has a partial unfinished basement. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$9.87 to \$11.26 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is reportedly \$12.72 per square foot of living area². The appellant presented no uniformity analysis with respect to dwelling #2 located on the subject property. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$45,589 was disclosed. The buildings have improvement assessments of \$30,650 and \$10,859 or \$9.39 and \$17.63 per square foot of living area, respectively.

In support of the subject buildings' improvement assessments, the board of review offered property characteristic sheets and two separate assessment analyses for each dwelling contained on the subject parcel. With respect to dwelling #1 the board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties consisting of two-story frame, masonry or stucco buildings that range in age from 81 to 115 years old. The buildings range in size from 2,828 to 3,165 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement of which two are finished with a recreation room. Three comparables have a 2-car or 3-car garage and one comparable has central air conditioning. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$9.95 to \$12.80 per square foot of living area. Dwelling #1 has an improvement assessment of \$9.39 per square foot of living area.

For dwelling #2 the board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties consisting of two-story frame dwellings that range in age from 85 to 94 years old. The dwellings range in size from 997 to 1,152 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement of which two are finished with a recreation room. Two comparables have a 1-car garage and one comparable has central air conditioning. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$21.49 to \$27.49 per square foot of living area. Dwelling #2 has an improvement assessment of \$17.63 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by

² The appellant reported the total improvement assessment of the two dwellings of \$45,589.

clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds the comparables submitted by both parties were similar to the subject in location, size and features. The comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$9.87 to \$12.80 per square foot of living area. The subject's dwelling #1 improvement assessment of \$9.39 per square foot of living area is below the range established by the comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's dwelling #1 improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted. The appellant did not challenge the assessment of dwelling #2.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the appellant disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. For the foregoing reasons, the Board finds that the appellant has not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject property is inequitably assessed. Therefore, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject's assessment as established by the board of review is correct and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

J. R.

Member

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 18, 2012

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.