



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

AMENDED DECISION

APPELLANT: Degen Family Limited Partnership
DOCKET NO.: 09-27780.001-I-1
PARCEL NO.: 07-33-201-105-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Degen Family Limited Partnership, the appellant(s), by attorney Anthony M. Farace, of Amari & Locallo in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 16,537
IMPR.: \$ 110,250
TOTAL: \$ 126,787

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2008 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board (the "Board") finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject consists of a one-story, industrial building with 6,882 square feet of building area. The building is 31 years old. The property has a 17,640 square foot site, and is located in Schaumburg Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 5-93 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on 15 equity comparables.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$143,749. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$127,212, or \$18.48 per square foot of building area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on October 2006 for a price of \$575,000. The board of review also submitted information on seven comparable sales from the CoStar Comps Service.

At hearing, the appellant's attorney, Mr. Dan Lynch, reviewed the evidence submitted and stated that the board of review failed to address the appellant's equity argument.

The board of review analyst, Ms. Lena Henderson, reviewed the evidence submitted and stated that the sale price in 2006 is the best indicator of market value. In addition, Ms. Henderson stated that the appellant's evidence includes equity comparables that are partially assessed. Administrative Law Judge, Pat Loukas, requested that the appellant's attorney within 7 days submit evidence confirming equity comparables are not partially assessed. Mr. Lynch within the allotted time submitted assessment printouts indicating the comparables were not partially assessed.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1, #2, #3, #4, #5, #6, #7, #8, #10, #11, #12, and #15. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$9.96 to \$16.02 per square foot of building area. The subject's assessment of \$18.48 per square foot of building area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed, and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Tracy A. Huff

Member

Marko M. Louie

Member

[Signature]

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 19, 2014

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.