



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: David Bolt
DOCKET NO.: 09-25686.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 23-02-114-015-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are David Bolt, the appellant, by attorney John P. Fitzgerald of the Fitzgerald Law Group, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,591
IMPR.: \$24,689
TOTAL: \$29,280

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a multi-level dwelling of frame and masonry construction. The dwelling is approximately 43 years old and contains 1,739 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a partial finished basement, a fireplace, and a two-car garage. The subject property is classified as a class 2-34 residential property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance and is located in Hickory Hills, Palos Township, Cook County.¹

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on three suggested comparable properties described as dwellings of frame or frame and masonry construction. The appellant did not provide the comparables' story height; however, all of the comparables have the same assigned classification code as the subject. Two of the comparable properties have the same assigned neighborhood code as the subject and are located two or three blocks from the subject property. The comparable dwellings are from 31 to 47 years old and contain from 1,763 to 3,209 square feet of living area. One of the comparables has a fireplace; however, the appellant did not provide any information on the comparables'

¹ Class 2-34 is for split level residences with lower level below grade, all ages, all sizes.

foundations or garages. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$23,116 to \$34,720 or from \$10.82 to \$13.11 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$24,689 or \$14.20 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$21,025 or \$12.09 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$29,280 was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four suggested comparable properties consisting of multi-level dwellings of frame and masonry construction. The comparable properties have the same assigned neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. Two of the comparables are located one-quarter mile from the subject property. The dwellings are from 37 to 43 years old and contain from 1,456 to 1,880 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a partial finished basement, central air conditioning, and a garage. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$21,441 to \$26,887 or from \$14.30 to \$16.77 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of eight suggested comparables. The appellant's comparables #2 and #3 had significantly more living area than the subject, and comparable #3 had a different assigned neighborhood code than the subject. As a result, these comparables received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The board of review's comparables #3 and #4 had significantly less living area than the subject and also received reduced weight. The Board finds the board of review comparables #1 and #2 were very similar to the subject in all respects. Although the appellant did not disclose information on his comparables' foundations, the Board finds that the appellant's comparable #1 was most similar to the subject in size and was very similar in age, location, design, and exterior construction. Due to their similarities to the subject, these three comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$23,116 to \$26,887 or from \$13.11 to \$14.86 per

square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$24,689 or \$14.20 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the most similar comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 20, 2013

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.