



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Min Xie
DOCKET NO.: 09-24758.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 13-14-218-012-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Min Xie, the appellant, by attorney Christopher G. Walsh, Jr. in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$6,000
IMPR.: \$19,900
TOTAL: \$25,900

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 3,444 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 96 years old. Features of the home include a full basement finished with an apartment and a 2-car garage.

The appellant contends overvaluation based on a recent sale of the subject property. The appellant's attorney submitted a brief in support of this argument. Counsel indicated the subject property was purchased in May 2009 for a price of \$259,000 or \$75.20 per square foot of living area, land included. To further document the sale, the appellant submitted a copy of the Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration, PTAX-203, and an affidavit disclosing the subject property was purchased in May 2009 for a price of \$259,000. The affidavit indicated the subject was advertised for sale, and the services of a real estate broker were utilized to search for the subject property. When completing section IV of the residential appeal form, the appellant indicated that the subject property sold in settlement of a foreclosure. In the brief, counsel argued the subject had a market value of \$259,000 and the assessment should be calculated

by applying the 10% level of assessment for Class 2 residential property in Cook County. Based on this record, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$25,900.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein its final assessment of the subject totaling \$42,584 was disclosed. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$478,472 using the 2009 three year average median level of assessments for class 2 property of 8.90% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue. (86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.50(c)(2)).

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted a grid analysis of four comparable properties with assessment data and only one of which sold. The sold property was a two-story masonry dwelling that was 95 years old. It contains 3,432 square feet of living area and features a partial basement finished with an apartment and 2 fireplaces. Comparable #3 sold in October 2007 for a price of \$525,000 or \$152.97 per square foot of living area, including land.

Also attached to the board of review's data was a printout of 20 sales identified only by parcel number and entitled "Class 11 2 to 4 apts over 48 years within neighborhood 71081 of Township Jefferson." Two sales related to the subject property which included the May 2009 sale for \$259,000. The remaining 18 properties sold between February 1990 and August 2008 for prices ranging from \$70,000 to \$517,000. No other descriptive data was submitted for purposes of analyzing these properties.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Board finds it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal. The Board further finds the evidence in the record supports a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale of the subject property or comparable sales. (86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.65(c)). A contemporaneous sale between two parties dealing at arm's length is not only relevant to the question of fair cash value but practically conclusive on the issue on whether the assessment is reflective of market value. Korzen v. Belt Railway Co. of Chicago, 37 Ill.2d 158 (1967). After an analysis of the evidence in the record, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant submitted evidence that the subject property was purchased in May 2009 for \$259,000. The board of review offered four comparable properties, three of which were assessment equity comparables that do not address the appellant's market value

complaint. The board of review's comparable #3 sold in October 2007 for \$525,000. The Board finds this comparable sale is dated and not probative of fair market value as of the January 1, 2009 assessment date. Therefore the Board finds the evidence in the record supports a reduction in the subject's assessment.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 20, 2012

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.