



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jerad Yerian  
DOCKET NO.: 09-22385.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 14-18-117-024-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jerad Yerian, the appellant, by attorney Christopher G. Walsh, Jr. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$18,126  
**IMPR.:** \$41,130  
**TOTAL:** \$59,256

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property is improved with a two-story multi-family apartment building of masonry construction containing 2,742 square feet of living area. The two-unit building is 101 years old and is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The property is classified as a class 2-11 residential property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. Features of the building include a full basement finished with a recreation room.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on four comparable properties described as two-story frame or masonry buildings that range in age from 101 to 116 years old. The comparable buildings range in size from 2,684 to 2,880 square feet of living area. Three comparables have a full unfinished basement and a 2-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$11.99 to \$13.04 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$18.91 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the

appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$69,988 was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties consisting of two-story masonry buildings that range in age from 100 to 106 years old. The comparable buildings range in size from 2,226 to 2,808 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full basement of which one is finished with a recreation room and a 2-car garage. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$13.65 to \$15.10 per square foot of living area. The board of review also argued that the appellant's attorney does not address the 2-88 improvement of \$16,455 in request. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds a reduction is warranted.

The Board gave less weight to appellant's comparable #1 due to its dissimilar concrete slab foundation when compared to the subject. The Board finds comparables #2, #3 and #4 submitted by the appellant and the comparables submitted by the board of review were more similar to the subject in exterior construction, age and features. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$12.34 to \$15.10 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$18.91 per square foot of living area is above the range established by the most similar comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is not equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 18, 2012



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.