



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Robyn M. Newman  
DOCKET NO.: 09-20038.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 05-33-427-030-1013

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Robyn M. Newman, the appellant(s); and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 1,758  
**IMPR.:** \$20,937  
**TOTAL:** \$22,695

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property is improved with a class 2-99 residential condominium unit located in Evanston Township, Cook County. The appellant argued that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed value. In support of this overvaluation argument the appellant submitted a copy of a settlement statement dated July 22, 2009 stating that the subject was purchased by the appellant for \$255,000 in July 2009. The appellant's pleadings state that the sale was not between related parties, that the subject was advertised for sale for 2 months. The appellant stated that the sale was in settlement of a foreclosure. Based on this evidence the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to reflect the subject's purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's total assessment of \$35,209 was disclosed. This assessment reflects a market value of \$395,607 using the 2009 Illinois Department of Revenue three year median level of assessment for class 2 property of 8.90%. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted a memo from Dan Michaelides, Cook County Board of Review Analyst. The memorandum shows that seven units plus the subject, or 27.8873% of ownership, within the subject's building sold between October 2006 and July 2009 for a total of \$2,631,500. An allocation of

two percent per unit for personal property was subtracted from the aggregate sales price, and then divided by the percentage of interest of units sold to arrive at a total market value for the building of \$9,247,492. The subject's percentage of ownership, 4.0738%, was then utilized to arrive at a value for the subject unit of \$376,724. The board also submitted a grid listing for these seven units plus the subject, which included the PIN, the percentage of ownership, the assessment, and the sales dates and prices, including the sale of the subject in July 2009. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds the evidence in the record supports a reduction in the subject's assessment.

When overvaluation is claimed the appellant has the burden of proving the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3d Dist. 2002); Winnebago County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 313 Ill.App.3d 179 (2d Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal, a recent arm's length sale of the subject property, recent sales of comparable properties, or recent construction costs of the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.65(c). Having considered the evidence presented, the Board concludes that the evidence shows a reduction is warranted.

The appellant in this appeal submitted a settlement statement for the subject property showing a purchase price of \$255,000 in July 2009. The appellant's petition further stated that the subject was advertised for sale for two months and was not between related parties. Additionally, the Board finds that, even though the sale was in settlement of a foreclosure, and the purchase price was lower than all other purchases of other units in the condominium building, the subject's purchase price is still reflective of the subject's market value. The subject's assessment reflects a market value greater than the purchase price as shown on the settlement statement.

Based on this record the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject property had a market value of \$255,000 for the 2009 assessment year. Since market value has been determined, 2009 Illinois Department of Revenue three year median level of assessment for class 2 property of 8.90% shall apply and a reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Donald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fern*

Member

*Frank A. Huff*

Member

*Mario Morris*

Member

*J. R.*

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 20, 2012

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.