



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Minaksi Patel
DOCKET NO.: 09-05996.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 01-01-321-026

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Minaksi Patel, the appellant; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the DuPage County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$27,550
IMPR.: \$67,240
TOTAL: \$94,790

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject parcel is improved with a 2-story frame dwelling. The home was built in 1994 and contains 1,916 square feet of living area. Features of the dwelling include a partial basement with finished area, central air conditioning and a 2-car garage containing 432 square feet. The subject is located in Bartlett, Wayne Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends overvaluation based on the recent sale of the subject property. The appellant completed Section IV of the appeal form indicating the subject was purchased in an arm's length transaction on July 25, 2008 for a price of \$285,000 or \$148.75 per square foot of living area including land. The appellant claims the subject was purchased through a realtor, was advertised for sale through a multiple listing service, had been on the market for 116 days, and the sale was not between family or related corporations. To further document the sale, the appellant submitted a copy of the listing sheet disclosing an original list price of \$339,900 which was lowered to \$309,900 prior to its sale for \$285,000. The appellant also submitted a copy of the disbursement statement disclosing the subject was purchased on July 25, 2008 for a price of \$285,000. Based on this record, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$95,000 which would reflect a market value of

approximately \$285,000 at the statutory level of assessment of 33.33%.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$100,350 was disclosed. The subject's total assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$301,714 or \$157.47 per square foot of living area, land included, using the 2009 three-year median level of assessments for DuPage County of 33.26% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of the subject's assessment, the township assessor submitted a letter explaining the sale price of \$285,000 was accepted as market value. The assessed value was established at \$100,350 or 35.21% of the sale price since the Wayne Township single-year level of assessments for 2009 assessments vs. 2008 sale prices was 35.21%.

The board of review also submitted a grid analysis of six sales comparable properties. The sales comparables are described as 2-story frame or brick and frame dwellings ranging in size from 1,637 to 1,916 square feet of living area and built in 1991 or 1994. They feature partial basements, four with finished area, central air conditioning¹ and 2-car garages. These comparables sold from May 2006 through November 2008 for prices ranging from \$280,000 to \$345,000 or from \$159.02 to \$202.81 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Property Tax Appeal Board further finds the evidence in the record supports a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal, the value must be proven by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale of the subject property or comparable sales. (86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.65(c)). A contemporaneous sale between two parties dealing at arm's length is not only relevant to the question of fair cash value but practically conclusive on the issue on whether the assessment is reflective of market value. Korzen v. Belt Railway Co. of Chicago, 37 Ill.2d 158 (1967). After an analysis of the evidence in the record, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

¹ The grid analysis indicates comparable #5 does not have central air conditioning.

The Board finds the board of review's sales data does not sufficiently refute the appellant's overvaluation argument. The assessor accepted the sale price of the subject as the fair market value and then assessed the property at 35.21% of the sale price. The Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record is the sale of the subject property in July 2008 for \$285,000. The subject's total assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$301,714 which is greater than its purchase price. Based on this record, the Board finds the subject had a market value of \$285,000 as of its January 1, 2009 assessment date. Since market value has been established, the 2009 DuPage county three-year median level of assessments of 33.26% shall apply.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 20, 2012

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.