



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: William Teeter  
DOCKET NO.: 08-30180.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 18-01-115-003-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are William Teeter, the appellant(s), by attorney Christopher G. Walsh, Jr. in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$4,992  
**IMPR.:** \$26,160  
**TOTAL:** \$31,152

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property contains 7,800 square feet of land, and is improved with two improvements. Improvement #1 is a 42 year old, one-story, masonry dwelling containing 961 square feet of living area. Improvement #1 includes one and one-half baths, and a full, unfinished basement. Improvement #2 is an 88 year old, one-story masonry dwelling containing 640 square feet of living area. Improvement #2 includes 1 bath, a slab, and a fireplace.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on four comparable properties described as one-story, frame, masonry, or frame and masonry dwellings that range in age from 57 to 151 years old, and in size from 924 to 990 square feet of living area. The comparable dwellings all have one bath. Additionally, two of the properties have basement areas, and two have a garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$16.15 to \$16.67 per square foot of living area. Improvement #1's improvement assessment is \$17.69 per square foot of living area. Improvement #2's improvement assessment is \$19.81 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final total assessment of \$34,669 was disclosed. The board also submitted a document entitled "Notes," where the individual improvement assessments for the two improvements on the subject property are disclosed: \$17,000 for Improvement #1, and \$12,678 for Improvement #2. The board of review did not submit any comparable properties. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has met this burden.

The Board finds the comparables submitted by the appellant were similar to Improvement #1 and Improvement #2 in location, size, style, exterior construction, features, and age. Due to their similarities to both improvements, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$16.15 to \$16.67 per square foot of living area. Improvement #1's improvement assessment of \$17.69 per square foot of living area is above the range established by the most similar comparables. Improvement #2's improvement assessment of \$19.81 per square foot of living area is also above this range.

After considering adjustments and the differences in the comparables submitted when compared to the subject improvements, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is not equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted. The Board finds that Improvement #1's improvement assessment shall be \$16.30 per square foot of living area, and that Improvement #2's improvement assessment shall be \$16.40 per square foot of living area.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Donald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fern*

Member

*Frank A. Huff*

Member

*Mario Morris*

Member

*J. R.*

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 20, 2012

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.