



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Brian Feltzin  
DOCKET NO.: 08-30084.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 05-06-404-077-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Brian Feltzin, the appellant(s), by attorney Steven B. Pearlman, of Steven B. Pearlman & Associates in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND: \$ 56,348**  
**IMPR.: \$ 154,718**  
**TOTAL: \$ 211,066**

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject has 21,344 square feet of land, which is improved with a four year old, two-story, masonry, single-family dwelling. The subject's improvement size is 4,687 square feet of living area, which equates to an improvement assessment of \$33.01 per square foot of living area. The appellant, via counsel, argued that there was unequal treatment in the assessment process of the subject's improvement as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted descriptive and assessment information for three properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The comparables are described as two-story, frame and masonry, single-family dwellings. Additionally, the comparables range: in age from 38 to 52 years; in size from 4,763 to 4,981 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$18.39 to \$28.00 per square foot of living area. The comparables also have various amenities. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The Cook County Board of Review submitted its "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal," wherein the subject's improvement assessment of \$179,840 was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted descriptive

and assessment information for four properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The comparables are described as two-story, masonry, single-family dwellings. Additionally, the comparables range: in age from one to eight years; in size from 4,439 to 4,836 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$24.96 to \$72.34 per square foot of living area. The comparables also have several amenities. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's improvement assessment.

At hearing, the appellant reaffirmed the evidence previously submitted. The appellant also submitted the assessment history of the subject for the triennial spanning tax years 2007, 2008, and 2009. This document was accepted into evidence, without objection from the board of review, and marked as "Appellant's Hearing Exhibit A." The subject's assessment history shows that the subject's market value decreased from 2007 to 2008, and increased from 2008 to 2009. The appellant also argued that Comparable #4 submitted by the board of review supports the appellant's case because the assessment per square foot is lower than the subject's 2008 assessment per square foot. The appellant also requested two forms of relief. First, the appellant requested relief based on the uniformity argument set forth in the brief. Second, the appellant requested that the subject's market value be consistent throughout the entire triennial.

The board of review asked the Property Tax Appeal Board (the "Board") to take judicial notice of the Board's decision in docket number 07-24858.001-R-1, wherein the subject's assessment was confirmed to be \$236,188, and no reduction was granted. The board of review submitted a map showing the location of the subject property, and the locations of the comparable properties submitted by the parties. This map was accepted into evidence, without objection from the appellant, and marked as "Board of Review Hearing Exhibit A." The board of review also submitted an ASIQ printout from the Cook County Assessor's records, showing that Comparable #4 submitted by the board of review received an occupancy factor of 46.4% for tax year 2008, and that, had no occupancy factor been applied, this comparable's improvement assessment would have been \$53.80 per square foot of living area. The Board took judicial notice of this ASIQ printout.

After reviewing the record, considering the evidence, and hearing the testimony, the Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of this appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Walsh v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 181 Ill. 2d 228, 234 (1998) (citing Kankakee Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 131 Ill. 2d 1 (1989)); 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.63(e). To succeed in an appeal based on lack of

uniformity, the appellant must submit documentation "showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property." Cook Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 403 Ill. App. 3d 139, 145 (1st Dist. 2010); 86 Ill. Admin. Code § 1910.65(b). "[T]he critical consideration is not the number of allegedly similar properties, but whether they are in fact 'comparable' to the subject property." Cook Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 403 Ill. App. 3d at 145 (citing DuPage Cnty. Bd. of Review v. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 284 Ill. App. 3d 649, 654-55 (2d Dist. 1996)). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds that the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds that all of the comparables submitted by the board of review were most similar to the subject in location, size, style, exterior construction, features, and/or age. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$53.80 to \$72.34 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$33.01 per square foot of living area is below the range established by the most similar comparables. Therefore, after considering adjustments and differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds that the subject's improvement assessment is equitable.

The Board does not find the appellant's remaining argument regarding the consistency of the subject's market value persuasive. No evidence was submitted by either party to support or discredit the subject's market value for tax year 2008. Moreover, this argument was raised for the first time at hearing, and the board of review was not given notice of the appellant's market value argument, nor an opportunity to intelligently respond. As such, the Board cannot make a finding of market value, and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Donald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fern*

Member

*Frank A. Huff*

Member

*Mario Morris*

Member

*J. R.*

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 22, 2013

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.