



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: John Stamatis
DOCKET NO.: 08-30032.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-32-221-006-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Stamatis, the appellant, by attorney Peter D. Verros and attorney Ellen Berkshire, of Verros, Lafakis & Berkshire, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 22,320
IMPR.: \$ 70,752
TOTAL: \$ 93,072

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 3,720 square foot parcel of land improved with a 121-year old, two-story, masonry, multi-family dwelling. The improvement contains 2,550 square feet of living area as well as a full basement, two apartments and a two-car garage.

The appellant's attorney argued that there was unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted descriptive and assessment data for a total of 12 suggested comparables represented on four grid sheets. The properties were improved with a two-story or three-story, multi-family dwelling with frame, masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction. Three properties were located on the same street as the subject, while all 12 properties were sited within a six-block radius of the subject. They range: in apartments from two to four units; in age from 59 to 128 years; in size from 1,824 to 3,495 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$17.12 to \$21.59 per square foot. Eight of the properties contain basement area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$27.75

per square foot of living area. Based upon this analysis, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

At hearing, the appellant's attorney stated that she had no personal knowledge as to the proximity of the suggested comparables to the subject property.

The board of review submitted "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's total assessment was \$93,072. The board of review submitted descriptive and assessment data relating to four suggested comparables. The properties are improved with a two-story, masonry, multi-family dwelling. They range: in apartments from two to three units; in age from 118 to 138 years; in size from 2,200 to 2,772 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$28.17 to \$32.86 per square foot. Amenities include a full basement and a two-car garage.

In addition, the board's analysis indicated that the properties #1 and #4 were located within a quarter-mile radius from the subject, while the remaining properties were located within the subject's subarea. At hearing, the board's representative testified that he had no personal knowledge of the proximity of those two properties to the subject. As a result of its analysis, the board requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After considering the arguments as well as reviewing the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the data, the Board finds that the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds that comparables #3, #4, and #5 submitted by the appellant as well as comparables #1 and #4 submitted by the board of review are most similar to the subject in exterior construction, improvement size and/or age. In analysis, the Board accorded most weight to these comparables. These comparables ranged in improvement assessments from \$20.82 to \$32.86 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment at \$27.75 per square foot is within the range established by these comparables.

The Board accorded diminished weight to the parties' remaining properties due to a disparity in improvement exterior construction, size and/or age.


As a result of this analysis, the Board finds that the appellant has not adequately demonstrated that the subject was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and that a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman



Member



Member

Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 18, 2011



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.