



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Hyunsook J. Kim
DOCKET NO.: 08-29191.001-C-1 through 08-29191.003-C-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Hyunsook J. Kim, the appellant(s), by attorney James A. Field, of Field and Goldberg, LLC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
08-29191.001-C-1	10-18-106-040-0000	57,052	149,633	\$206,685
08-29191.002-C-1	10-18-106-015-0000	12,468	495	\$12,963
08-29191.003-C-1	10-18-106-014-0000	12,109	481	\$12,590

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of three parcels of land totaling 20,459 square feet and improved with a 47-year old, one-story, commercial, strip center building containing 8,308 square feet of building area. The appellant, via counsel, argued that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in the property's assessed valuation as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the market value argument, the appellant submitted income and expense data for 2006 through 2008.

In addition, the appellant submitted descriptive and sales information on one property suggested as comparable. The property is described as a commercial building containing 12,000 square feet of building area. It sold in December 2007 for \$625,000 or \$52.08 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's total assessment of \$232,238 was disclosed. The subject's final assessment reflects a fair market value of \$611,152 or \$73.56 per square foot of building area when the Cook County Ordinance level of assessment of 38% for Cook County Class 5a property is applied.

In addition, the board of review submitted detailed descriptive and sales data on five properties described as commercial buildings containing between 6,340 and 10,000 square feet of building area. These properties sold from January 2003 to September 2008 for prices ranging from \$455,000 to \$2,600,000 or from \$71.77 to \$325.00 per square foot of building area. Based upon this evidence, the board requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

When overvaluation is claimed the appellant has the burden of proving the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002); Winnebago County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 313 Ill.App.3d 179 (2nd Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal, a recent arm's length sale of the subject property, recent sales of comparable properties, or recent construction costs of the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.65(c). Having considered the evidence presented, the PTAB concludes that the evidence indicates a reduction based on market value is not warranted.

The appellant first submitted documentation showing the income and expenses of the subject property. The PTAB gives the appellant's argument little weight. In Springfield Marine Bank v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 44 Ill.2d 428 (1970), the court stated:

[I]t is the value of the "tract or lot of real property" which is assessed, rather than the value of the interest presently held. . . [R]ental income may of course be a relevant factor. However, it cannot be the controlling factor, particularly where it is admittedly misleading as to the fair cash value of the property involved. . . [E]arning capacity is properly regarded as the most significant element in arriving at "fair cash value".

Many factors may prevent a property owner from realizing an income from property that accurately reflects its true earning capacity; but it is the capacity for earning income, rather than the income actually derived, which reflects "fair cash value" for taxation purposes. Id. at 431.

Actual expenses and income can be useful when shown that they are reflective of the market. Although the appellant's attorney made this argument, the appellant did not demonstrate through an expert in real estate valuation that the subject's actual income and expenses are reflective of the market. To demonstrate or estimate the subject's market value using income, one must establish, through the use of market data, the market rent, vacancy and collection losses, and expenses to arrive at a net operating income reflective of the market and the property's capacity for earning income. The appellant did not provide such evidence and, therefore, the PTAB gives this argument no weight and finds that a reduction based on market value is not warranted.

The parties presented sales information on a total of seven suggested comparables. In reviewing the evidence, the PTAB finds the appellant's comparable and the board of review's comparables #3 and #5 similar to the subject and with sales dates closest to the lien date in question. Therefore, these properties receive the most weight in the analysis. These properties sold between June 2005 and September 2008 for prices ranging from \$625,000 to \$2,600,000 or from \$52.08 to \$325.00 per square foot of building area, including land. In comparison, the subject properties assessment reflects a value of \$73.56 per square foot of building area, including land, which is within the range established by the most similar comparables. The Board finds that the appellant has failed to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject is overvalued and a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 24, 2014



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.