



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Lynn Shareef
DOCKET NO.: 08-27999.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 31-24-101-053-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lynn Shareef, the appellant, by attorney Brian S. Maher of Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 10,793
IMPR.: \$ 17,719
TOTAL: \$ 28,512

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction. The dwelling is ten years old and contains 4,517 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a four-car garage. The property has a 17,990 square foot site and is located in Olympia Fields, Rich Township, Cook County.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on May 22, 2009, for a price of \$297,000. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a realtor, and the property had been advertised on the open market using the multiple listing service. In further support of the transaction, the appellant submitted copies of the sales contract and the settlement statement. In a letter dated August 18, 2009, the appellant's attorney stated that the sale of the subject property represents an "arms length transaction" and requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$24,888.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's total assessment of \$36,721 was disclosed. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$382,510 or \$84.68 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the 2008 three year average median level of assessments for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 9.60% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of the assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables, one of which was a sale. The comparable property that sold (comparable #2) has a 20,083 square foot site and a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction. Comparable #2 has the same assigned neighborhood code as the subject property and is located one-quarter mile from the subject. Comparable #2 is 18 years old and contains 4,011 square feet of living area with a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a two-car garage. Comparable #2 sold in June 2005 for \$390,000 or \$97.23 per square foot of living area, including land. The board of review also revealed that the subject property sold in October 2006 for \$90,000. The board of review also submitted a list of 17 properties that sold from 1990 to 2007 for prices ranging from \$52,500 to \$900,000. This list included two sale prices on the same date for the subject property: One of the listings revealed that the subject property sold on October 1, 2006, for \$90,000, and another listing revealed that the subject property sold on October 1, 2006, for \$900,000. Descriptive evidence for most of the other sale properties was not provided.¹

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. Except in counties with more than 200,000 inhabitants that classify property, property is to be valued at 33 1/3% of fair cash value. (35 ILCS 200/9-145(a)). Fair cash value is defined in the Property Tax Code as "[t]he amount for which a property can be sold in the due course of business and trade, not under duress, between a willing buyer and a willing seller." (35 ILCS 200/1-50). The Supreme Court of Illinois has construed "fair cash value" to mean what the property would bring at a voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is ready, willing, and able to buy but not forced to do so. Springfield Marine Bank v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 44 Ill.2d 428 (1970). A contemporaneous sale between two parties dealing at arm's length is not only relevant to the question of fair cash value but practically conclusive on the issue on whether the assessment is

¹ Seven of the seventeen sale prices were for the subject property and the four equity comparables.

reflective of market value. Korzen v. Belt Railway Co. of Chicago, 37 Ill.2d 158 (1967). Furthermore, the sale of a property during the tax year in question is a relevant factor in considering the validity of the assessment. Rosewell v. 2626 Lakeview Limited Partnership, 120 Ill.App.3d 369, 375 (1st Dist. 1983). When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002); 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c)). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record is the sale of the subject property on May 22, 2009, for a price of \$297,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction: The parties to the transaction were not related; the property was sold using a realtor; and the property had been advertised for sale using the multiple listing service. The subject property has an assessment of \$36,721 that reflects a market value of \$382,510. The Board finds the subject's purchase price is less than the market value reflected by the assessment.

The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction or to refute the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value. The board of review submitted four equity comparables and a sale price for one of the comparables. Comparable #2 sold in June 2005 for \$390,000. The Board gives no weight to the board of review's equity evidence as it is not responsive to the appellant's overvaluation argument. Although the board of review submitted a sale price for one of their equity comparables, comparable #2's sale date was not as proximate to the assessment date at issue as the sale of the subject property on May 22, 2009. Finally, the Board gives no weight to the board of review's listing of sale properties. Most of these sales lacked the descriptive evidence that would have helped to determine how similar they were to the subject property.

Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$297,000 as of January 1, 2008. Since market value has been determined the 2008 three year average median level of assessments for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 9.60% shall apply. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(1)/(2)).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 20, 2013

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.