



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Dave Luczak
DOCKET NO.: 08-27062.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 07-26-405-034-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dave Luczak, the appellant, by attorney Brian P. Liston, of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 10,156
IMPR.: \$ 32,513
TOTAL: \$ 42,669

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of frame construction. The dwelling is nineteen years old and contains 2,247 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a two-car garage. The subject is located in Elk Grove Village, Schaumburg Township, Cook County.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on five suggested comparable properties described as two-story dwellings of frame construction.¹ The comparable properties have the same assigned neighborhood code as the subject. The comparable dwellings are from 19 to 23 years old and contain from 2,007 to 2,733 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a garage; four comparables have central air conditioning; and three have a fireplace. One comparable has a crawl-space foundation, and four have full unfinished basements. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$28,168 to \$39,335 or from \$13.89 to \$14.39 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$32,513 or \$14.47 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the

¹ Four comparables were described in a grid analysis with a property characteristic sheet in the evidence for an additional property.

subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$31,511 or \$14.02 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$42,669 was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four suggested comparable properties consisting of two-story dwellings of frame construction. The comparable properties have the same assigned neighborhood code as the subject. The dwellings are either 21 or 22 years old and contain from 2,307 to 2,518 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full unfinished basement, a fireplace, and a garage. Three comparables have central air conditioning. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$36,184 to \$38,708 or from \$14.37 to \$16.78 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of nine suggested comparables. The appellant's comparables #2 and #5 were significantly larger than the subject and received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The appellant's comparable #1 also received reduced weight because it has a crawl-space foundation which is unlike the subject's full unfinished basement. The Board finds that the best comparables in the record were the appellant's comparable #3 and the board of review's comparable #1. The appellant's comparable #3 was identical to the subject in age and size and the board of review's comparable #1 was very similar to the subject in age and size. Both were very similar to the subject in all other respects. In addition, the appellant's comparable #4 and the board of review's comparables #2 through #4, despite differing somewhat from the subject in size, were still very similar in location, design, exterior construction, and foundation. Due to their similarities to the subject, these six comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$28,580 to \$38,708 or from \$13.99 to \$16.78 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$32,513 or \$14.47 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables. After considering adjustments and the

differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 19, 2013

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.