



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Kenneth Bond
DOCKET NO.: 08-25925.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 04-24-414-008-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kenneth Bond, the appellant, by attorney Mitchell L. Klein of Schiller Klein PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 47,108
IMPR.: \$ 68,092
TOTAL: \$ 115,200

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 5,276 square feet of living area. The dwelling is three years old. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a three-car attached garage. The dwelling is located in Northfield, Northfield Township, Cook County.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of the overvaluation argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal prepared by a realtor in which a market value of \$1,200,000 was estimated for the subject property as of January 1, 2008. In the appraisal, the appraiser disclosed that the subject property sold for \$1,200,000 in April 2009:

The subject property was listed for sale April - November, 2007 for \$2,145,000., and reduced to \$1,995,000. The Listing Expired. In November, 2008, the subject property was relisted at \$1,290,000 and sold as a Short Sale in April, 2009 for \$1,200,000.

The appraiser developed the sales comparison approach and the cost approach but gave primary emphasis to the sales comparison

approach for estimating the market value of the subject property. Using the cost approach, the appraiser estimated a market value of \$1,493,900. Under the sales comparison approach, the realtor considered three comparable properties that sold from May to December 2007 for prices that ranged from \$1,200,000 to \$1,387,500. The comparable properties are improved with two-story masonry dwellings that range in age from 13 to 47 years old and in size from 4,241 to 5,793 square feet of living area. After identifying differences between the comparable properties and the subject, the appraiser made adjustments to the sale prices. As a result, the adjusted sale prices of the comparable properties ranged from \$1,177,150 to \$1,406,400. In the brief, the appellant's counsel requested that the 10.04% level of assessments on class 2 property in Cook County be applied to the appraiser's finding of market value and that the subject's total assessment be reduced to \$120,480.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$191,143 was disclosed. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,991,073 or \$377.38 per square foot of living area, land included, using the 2008 three year average median level of assessments for class 2 property of 9.60% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue. The only market value evidence submitted by the board of review was the sale of the subject property in May 2005 for \$610,000. The board of review also provided copies of building permits which indicate that this transaction was for the purpose of purchasing the site for new construction.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Board finds it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal. The Board further finds the evidence in the record supports a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale of the subject property or comparable sales. (86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.65(c)). After an analysis of the evidence in the record, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the appellant's appraisal is the best evidence of the subject's market value as of the January 1, 2008 assessment date. The realtor estimated a market value of \$1,200,000 for the subject property as of January 1, 2008. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,991,076 and is in excess of the market value estimate contained in the appraisal. The only evidence submitted by the board of review was the May 2005 sale of the subject property, but that transaction appears to have

been for the purpose of purchasing the site for new construction. Thus, the Board finds the subject has a market value of \$1,200,000 as of January 1, 2008, and the 2008 three-year median level of assessments for Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance Class 2 property of 9.60% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue shall apply. (86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.59(c)(2)).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

Shawn R. Lerbis

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 20, 2011

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.