



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: David S. Berry
DOCKET NO.: 08-25506.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 03-17-106-001-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are David S. Berry, the appellant, by attorney Joseph G. Kusper, of Storino Ramello & Durkin in Rosemont; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 8,640
IMPR.: \$ 62,017
TOTAL: \$ 70,657

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a two-story, single-family dwelling of frame construction containing 3,788 square foot of living area. The dwelling is seven years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a three-car garage. The dwelling is situated on an 18,000 square foot lot located in Wheeling Township, Cook County.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of the appeal. In the support of this claim, the appellant submitted descriptions and assessment information on three suggested comparable properties. They consist of two-story, single-family masonry, frame or masonry and frame dwellings that range in age from 12 to 21 years old. The comparable dwellings range in size from 3,714 to 4,417 square feet of living area. The properties are located within several blocks of the subject property. Features include a full unfinished basement, air conditioning and a two-car or a three-car garage. Two properties have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$50,895 to \$55,693 or \$12.49 to \$13.70 per square

foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$62,017 or \$16.37 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment to \$50,141 or \$13.24 per square foot of living of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's total assessment of \$70,657 was disclosed. The subject's improvement assessment is \$62,017 or \$16.37 per square foot of living area. In support of the subject assessments, the board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties. They consist of two-story, frame single family dwellings that range in age from four to 14 years old. The dwellings range in size from 2,523 to 3,552 square feet of living area. The comparables are located in the same neighborhood code as the subject property. Features include central air conditioning, a partial unfinished or full finished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace or two fireplaces and a two-car or three-car garage. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$56,024 to \$58,563 or \$16.49 to \$19.06 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

Both parties submitted a total of six comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to appellant's comparables #1 and #2 due to larger size and exterior construction when compared to the subject. The Board finds that comparable #3 submitted by the appellant and comparables #1 and #2 submitted by the board of review are most similar to the subject in location, size, age, style, exterior construction and features. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$50,895 to \$58,563 or \$13.70 to \$16.73 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$16.37 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the most similar comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require a mathematical equality. A practical, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill2d. 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. For the foregoing reasons, the Board finds that the appellant has not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject property is inequitably assessed. Therefore, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject's assessment as established by the board of review is correct and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario M. Louie

Member

Shawn P. Lerbis

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: October 21, 2011

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.