



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: CCI Manufacturing IL Corp  
DOCKET NO.: 08-25006.001-I-1  
PARCEL NO.: 22-20-200-016-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are CCI Manufacturing IL Corp, the appellant(s), by attorney Dennis M. Nolan, of Dennis M. Nolan, P.C. in Bartlett; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$49,867  
**IMPR:** \$207,533  
**TOTAL:** \$257,400

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property consists of a 277,041 square foot parcel of land improved with a one-story, industrial building. The appellant argued that the market value of the subject property was not accurately reflected in its assessed value as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the market value argument, the appellant submitted a summary appraisal report of the subject property with an effective date of January 1, 2008. The appraiser estimated a market value for the subject of \$715,000 based upon the three traditional approaches to value. The appraisal indicated the subject was inspected.

In addition, the appellant asserts that a portion of the land is excess land and should be assessed as if vacant. The appellant argues the appraisal supports this. The appraisal opines on page 42 that the assessor's land value is appropriate and accurate. In addition, the appraisal opined the subject has a superior land to building ratio when compared to the sales comparables and these comparables were adjusted upward. Based upon this evidence, the appellant requests a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's total assessment of \$300,140 was disclosed. This assessment reflects a fair market value of \$833,722 when the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessments of 36% for Class 5b property is applied.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review presented descriptions and sales information on a total of seven properties. The properties sold for prices ranging from \$1,240,000 to \$2,800,000 or from \$41.33 to \$70.00 per square foot of building area, land included.

After considering the arguments and reviewing the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

When overvaluation is claimed the appellant has the burden of proving the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331Ill.App.3d 1038 (3<sup>rd</sup> Dist. 2002); Winnebago County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 313 Ill.App.3d 179 (2<sup>nd</sup> Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal, a recent arm's length sale of the subject property, recent sales of comparable properties, or recent construction costs of the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.65(c). Having considered the evidence presented, the Board concludes that the appellant has met this burden and that a reduction is warranted.

In determining the fair market value of the subject property, the Board thoroughly considered the parties' evidence and finds the best evidence to be the appellant's appraisal. The Board finds this appraisal to be persuasive for the appraiser inspected the subject property and developed the three traditional approaches to value in estimating the subject's market value. Moreover, market data was used to obtain improved

sale comparables while providing sufficient detail regarding each sale as well as appropriate adjustments, where necessary.

However, the Board finds the appellant failed to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject contained excess land. The appellant's own appraisal opines that the subject's land is accurately valued and that it has a superior land to building ratio than the sales comparables within the appraisal.

Therefore, the Board finds that the subject property contained a market value of \$715,000 for tax year 2008. Since the market value of the subject has been established, the Cook County Ordinance level of assessment of 36% for class 5b, industrial property will apply and a reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Ronald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Ferr*

Member

Member

*Mario M. Lino*

Member

*J. R.*

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 18, 2014

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.