



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Nicole Williams  
DOCKET NO.: 08-23828.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 05-06-201-098-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Nicole Williams, the appellant, by attorney James P. Regan, of Fisk Kart Katz and Regan, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 80,300  
**IMPR.:** \$ 99,700  
**TOTAL:** \$ 180,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property consists of a 30,417 square foot parcel of land improved with a 24-year old, two-story, stucco and frame, single-family dwelling. The improvement contains 4,267 square feet of living area. Amenities include four full and one half bath, four bedrooms, central air conditioning, one fireplace, a partial basement with formal recreational room, and a detached two and one-half car garage.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming that the subject's market value is not accurately reflected in its assessment as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the market value argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal undertaken by David Conaghan and reviewed by Mitchell J. Perlow. The report indicates Conaghan holds the designation of a State of Illinois certified general appraiser while Perlow holds an MAI designation. Conaghan inspected the interior and exterior of the subject and indicated the subject has an estimated market value of \$1,875,000 as of January 1,

2007. The appraisal report utilized two of the three traditional approaches to value to estimate the market value for the subject property.

Under the cost approach to value, the appraiser used the extraction method to arrive at a value estimate for the land at \$925,000, rounded. He then valued the improvements at \$1,345,356 and deducted depreciation of \$443,968 to arrive at a depreciated building value of \$901,389. With site improvements and the value of the land added, the appraiser estimated the value of the subject under this approach at \$1,875,000, rounded.

Under the sales comparison approach, the appraiser analyzed the sales of five properties located within the subject property's neighborhood. The comparables are one or two-story, masonry or frame, residential single-family dwellings. The suggested comparable properties contain from 3,021 to 6,358 square feet of living area and sold from May 2006 to July 2007 for prices ranging from \$1,375,000 to \$2,181,500, or from \$302.77 to \$455.15 per square foot of living area, including land. The appraiser adjusted each of the comparables for pertinent factors. Based on the similarities and differences of the comparables when compared to the subject, the appraiser estimated a value for the subject under the sales comparison approach of \$1,875,000, rounded.

In reconciling the two approaches to value, the appraisal gave primary consideration to the sales comparison approach to value with secondary consideration given to the cost approach to arrive at a final estimate of value for the subject as of January 1, 2007 of \$1,875,000.

The board of review submitted "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's total assessment was \$246,712. This assessment reflects a total market value of \$2,569,917 or \$602.28 per square foot based upon the application of the Illinois Department of Revenue's three-year median level of assessment for tax year 2008 of 9.60% for class 2 property, as is the subject.

The board of review submitted descriptive and assessment data relating to four suggested comparables. They are all located within the subject's neighborhood. The properties are improved with a two-story, masonry, frame or frame and masonry, single-family dwelling with four or five bedrooms. They range: in age from 27 to 50 years; in size from 4,180 to 4,507 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$59.66 to \$68.39 per square foot. The properties include three and one-half to five full baths, a partial or full finished or unfinished basement, central air conditioning for three suggested comparables, one to three fireplaces and a two-car garage. As a result of its analysis, the board requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After considering the arguments as well as reviewing the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has

jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

When market value is the basis of the appeal, the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist, 2002); Winnebago County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 313 Ill.App.3d 179 (2nd Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal, a recent arm's length sale of the subject property, recent sales of comparable properties, or recent construction costs of the subject property. (86 Ill.Adm.Code 1910.65(c)). Having considered the evidence presented, the Board finds that the appellant has met this burden and that a reduction is warranted.

In determining the fair market value of the subject property, the Board finds the best evidence to be the appellant's appraisal. The appellant's appraiser utilized the cost and sales comparison approaches to value in determining the subject's market value.

The Board finds this appraisal to be persuasive for the appraiser: has experience in appraising; personally inspected the subject property and reviewed the property's history; and used similar properties in the sales comparison approach while providing sufficient detail regarding each sale as well as adjustments that were necessary.

Therefore, the Board finds that the subject property had a market value of \$1,875,000 for the 2008 assessment year. Since the market value of the subject has been established, the Illinois Department of Revenue's 2008 three year median level of assessment of 9.60% for Cook County Class 2 property will apply. In applying this level of assessment to the subject, the total assessed value is \$180,000 while the subject's current total assessed value is above this amount. Therefore, the Board finds that a reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Donald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fern*

Member

*Frank A. Huff*

Member

*Mario Morris*

Member

*J. R.*

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 22, 2012

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.