



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Steven Rosenfeld
DOCKET NO.: 08-23523.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-07-103-002-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Steven Rosenfeld, the appellant, by attorney Arnold G. Siegel in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 29,904
IMPR.: \$ 37,296
TOTAL: \$ 67,200

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 13,350 square foot parcel improved with an 83-year-old, two-story, single-family dwelling of masonry construction containing 2,938 square feet of living area and located in New Trier Township, Cook County. Features of the residence include three and one-half bathrooms, a full-unfinished basement, a fireplace and a two-car detached garage.

The appellant, through counsel, submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming the subject's market value is not accurately reflected in its assessment. In support of this claim, the appellant submitted a uniform residential appraisal report prepared by William L. Shulman, an associate real estate trainee appraiser and Harry M. Fishman, a certified general real estate appraiser of Property Valuation Services, L.L.C. of Lincolnwood, Illinois. The appraisal disclosed that Shulman inspected the interior and exterior of the subject property. The appraisers utilized the sales comparison approach to estimate a market value of \$700,000 for the subject as of January 1, 2009.

In the sales comparison approach to value, the appraisers employed the sales of three properties located within a distance of approximately one mile from the subject. The comparables consist of two-story, single-family dwellings of masonry construction ranging from 73 to 85 years in age. The lots range in size from 7,000 to 12,240 square feet and the improvements range in size from 2,816 to 3,151 square feet of living area. The comparables sold between June 2008 and January 2010 for prices ranging from \$662,500 to \$685,000 or from \$215.01 to \$240.69 per square foot of living area, including land. After making adjustments, the appraisers concluded a value for the subject via the sales comparison approach of \$700,000 as of January 1, 2009.

In the report, the appraisers indicated the subject was considered to be in average condition. The report described the subject as having primarily wood floors with some ceramic tile; the kitchen has wood cabinetry with granite countertops and considered the bedrooms and bathrooms small by today's standards. Based on the evidence submitted, the appellant requested an assessment reflective of a fair market value for the subject of \$700,000.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$95,000 was disclosed. The assessment reflects a total market value of \$989,583 for the subject, when the 2008 Illinois Department of Revenue's three-year median level of assessments of 9.60% for Class 2 property, such as the subject, is applied. In support of the assessment the board submitted property characteristic printouts and descriptive data on four properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The suggested comparables are improved with two-story, single-family dwellings of masonry construction with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The improvements range in size from 2,698 to 3,016 square feet of living area and range in age from 81 to 97 years old. The comparables contain from two and one-half to four and one-half bathrooms, a finished or unfinished basement and a two-car garage. Two comparables have central air-conditioning and three comparables have one or two fireplaces. The improvement assessments range from \$26.64 to \$30.01 per square foot of living area. Based on the evidence presented, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist, 2002); Winnebago County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 313 Ill.App.3d 179 (2nd Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal, a

recent arms-length sale of the subject property, recent sales of comparable properties, or recent construction costs of the subject property. (86 Ill. Adm. Code §1910.65(c)). Having considered the evidence, the Board finds the appellant has satisfied this burden and a reduction is warranted.

The Property Tax Appeal Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record is the uniform residential appraisal report provided by the appellant. In addition, the Board finds that the board of review did not present any evidence or argument refuting the appellant's appraisal report. Moreover, the board of review's evidence does not address the appellant's market value argument. Thus, the Board finds the subject had a fair market value of \$700,000 as of January 1, 2008. Since fair market value has been established, the 2008 Illinois Department of Revenue's three-year median level of assessments of 9.60% for Class 2 property shall apply and a reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 20, 2012

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.