FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Paul & Diane Scardino
DOCKET NO.: 08-22017.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 09-22-117-002-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Paul & Diane Scardino, the appellants, by attorney Brian S. Maher, of Weis, DuBrock & Doody in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **a reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$11,737
IMPR.:	$74,604
TOTAL:	$86,341

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a 2-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 3,766 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 3 years old. Features of the home include a full, finished basement, central air conditioning, 2 fireplaces and a 2-car garage.

The appellants' appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellants submitted information on three comparable properties described as 2-story masonry or frame and masonry dwellings that range in age from 7 to 59 years old. The comparable dwellings range in size from 3,658 to 3,787 square feet of living area. All three comparables feature central air conditioning, fireplaces and 2-car garages. The appellant did not submit any data concerning basements for the comparables. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from $19.33 to $19.81 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is $22.52 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed.

The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties consisting of 1 or 2-story frame, masonry, or frame and masonry dwellings that range in age from 7 to 59 years old. The dwellings range in size from 1,360 to 4,502 square feet of living area. Two comparables feature full or partial, unfinished basements and two have slab foundations. Two comparables feature central air conditioning and two have 2 or 3-car garages. All comparables have 1 or 2 fireplaces. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from $22.61 to $24.44 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellants contend unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellants have met this burden.

Comparable #3 submitted by the appellant and comparables #2, #3 and #4 submitted by the board of review were significantly older than the subject. Comparable #1 submitted by the board of review was 20% larger than the subject. Therefore, these comparables received less weight in the Board's analysis. The Board finds comparables #1 and #2 submitted by the appellants were most similar to the subject in size, location, style, exterior construction, features and age. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments of $19.33 and $19.81 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of $22.52 per square foot of living area is higher than these two most similar comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is not equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: February 18, 2011

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board’s decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.