



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Vilinlfo Andablo
DOCKET NO.: 08-20429.001-C-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-30-200-012-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Vilinlfo Andablo, the appellant(s), by attorney Timothy E. Moran, of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 12,658
IMPR.: \$ 50,743
TOTAL: \$ 63,401

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 3,250 square foot parcel of land improved with a 71 year old, one-story, commercial/restaurant building containing 1,824 square feet of building area.

The appellant argued unequal treatment in the assessment process and that the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in the property's assessed valuation as the bases of the appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted assessment data for eight properties located within the subject's neighborhood. These properties are described as one-

story commercial buildings. The properties range in age from 16 to 86 years and contain between 1,036 and 7,856 square feet of building area. The properties have improvement assessments that range from \$5.71 to \$33.30 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$34.87 per square foot of building area. Based upon this data, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessed value

In support of the market value argument, the appellant submitted a copy of the Schedule E's from the appellant's federal income tax returns for the subject property for 2005, 2006, and 2007, and an income/expense analysis which derived a market value for the subject of \$109,734. Based upon this data, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's market value.

The board of review submitted "Board of Review-Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's total assessment was \$76,244 for the tax year 2008. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$200,641 or \$110.00 per square foot of building area using the Cook County Ordinance Level of Assessment for Class 5, residential property of 38%.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted a property record card for the subject, and raw sales data for four retail/storefront buildings located within the subject's neighborhood. The sales data was collected from the CoStar Comps service, and the CoStar Comps sheets state that the research was licensed to the Cook County Assessor's Office. However, the board of review included a memorandum which states that the submission of these comparables is not intended to be an appraisal or an estimate of value, and should not be construed as such. The memorandum further states that the information provided was collected from various sources, and was assumed to be factual, accurate, and reliable; but that the information had not been verified, and that the board of review did not warrant its accuracy.

The sale comparables are described as retail/storefront buildings. Additionally, the comparables are from 39 to 96 years old, and have from 1,500 to 2,000 square feet of building area. The comparables sold between November 2004 and March 2010 for \$156,000 to \$530,000, or \$80.00 to \$353.33 per square foot of building area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

As to the market value argument, the appellant submitted documentation showing the income of the subject property. The Board gives the appellant's argument little weight. In Springfield Marine Bank v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 44 Ill.2d 428 (1970), the court stated:

[I]t is the value of the "tract or lot of real property" which is assessed, rather than the value of the interest presently held. . . [R]ental income may of course be a relevant factor. However, it cannot be the controlling factor, particularly where it is admittedly misleading as to the fair cash value of the property involved. . . [E]arning capacity is properly regarded as the most significant element in arriving at "fair cash value".

Many factors may prevent a property owner from realizing an income from property that accurately reflects its true earning capacity; but it is the capacity for earning income, rather than the income actually derived, which reflects "fair cash value" for taxation purposes. Id. at 431.

Actual expenses and income can be useful when shown that they are reflective of the market. The appellant's brief and evidence only utilized the subject's actual income and expenses and vacancy and without the use of market data, market rent, vacancy and collection losses, and expenses to arrive at a net operating income reflective of the market and the property's capacity for earning income. Therefore, no reduction is granted on this basis.

The appellant also contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the PTAB finds the appellant has met this burden

The Board finds that comparables #1, #2, #6 and #7 submitted by the board of review were most similar to the subject in location, size, style, features, and/or age. Due to their

similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments from \$14.77 to \$27.82 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$34.86 per square foot of building area is above the range established by the most similar comparables. Therefore, after considering adjustments and differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds that the subject's improvement assessment is not equitable, and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Crit

Chairman

K. L. F...

Member

Richard A. ...

Member

Mark ...

Member

J.R.

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: January 24, 2014

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.