FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Regions Bank
DOCKET NO.: 08-02944.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 18-04-231-024

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Regions Bank, the appellant, and the Peoria County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***no change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Peoria** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$2,190
IMPR.:	$11,850
TOTAL:	$14,040

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling that contains 1,712 square feet of living area. Features of the property include a crawl-space foundation and a detached two-car garage of 400 square feet of building area. The dwelling was constructed in 1915.

The appellant originally requested a hearing in this matter. Counsel for appellant withdrew shortly before the scheduled hearing. The Official Rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board require, in pertinent part, that corporations, limited liability companies and other similar entities shall be represented at Board hearings by a person licensed to practice law in the State of Illinois. (86 Ill.Admin.Code Sec. 1910.70(c)). Merle Huff appeared without legal counsel and presented no objection to a decision in this matter being rendered on the evidence submitted in the record. Therefore, the decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board contained herein shall be based upon the evidence contained in and made a part of this record.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted sales data on three comparable properties improved with two, one-story dwellings and a two-story dwelling that range in size from 1,176 to 1,764 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed from 1900 to 1920. Each comparable has an unfinished basement. Two comparables have a fireplace and a garage of 264 and 576 square feet of building area, respectively. To further document the subject, the appellant included photocopies of photographs of the exterior and interior of the subject. The appellant indicated that each of the comparables has the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The subject has a grade of C-5 and the comparables have grades of C-5. The subject has a condition of F as do the comparables. To further document the sales, the appellant submitted copies of the Multiple Listing Service (MLS) sheets associated with each sale. The sales occurred from June 2007 to June 2008 for prices ranging from $17,500 to $27,500 or from $14.88 to $22.53 per square foot of living area land included. Based on this evidence the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to $7,600 or a market value of approximately $22,800.

The board of review (BOR) submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein its final assessment of the subject totaling $14,040 was disclosed. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of approximately $42,379 or $24.75 per square foot of living area land included using the 2008 three year average median level of assessments for Peoria County of 33.13%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the BOR submitted information on three comparables that were two-story dwellings that range in size from 1,456 to 1,728 square feet of living area. Each comparable has an unfinished basement and one comparable has a 288 square foot garage. The dwellings were constructed in 1900 and 1910. The BOR indicated two of the comparables have the same neighborhood code as the subject. The comparables have grades of C-5, C and D+5 and have a condition notations of 'fair.' The BOR also submitted a map noting the location of the subject and the comparables presented by both parties. The sales occurred from February to April 2007 for prices ranging from $31,300 to $44,000 or from $19.96 to $25.46 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the BOR requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

In rebuttal, the appellant argued the subject was purchased in 2005 for $21,330 and each of the BOR comparables has a basement whereas the subject does not. Appellant further argued the BOR comparables had updates and features not enjoyed by the subject. Moreover, appellant argued BOR comparable #2 is a duplex rather than a single-family dwelling like the subject. Appellant also argued that the sale price of comparable #3 reflects that its 2008 assessment was excessive. In further support of these contentions, the appellant submitted a copy of MLS sheets for the BOR comparables highlighting various remarks.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not supported by the evidence in the record.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002). The Board finds the sales data in the record does not support a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The record contains information on six comparable sales submitted by the parties. The Board finds appellant's comparable #1 and the BOR comparables were most similar to the subject in location, age, style and size. Each of these comparables had features such as a basement not enjoyed by the subject. These comparables sold from February 2007 to June 2008 for prices ranging from $27,500 to $44,000 or from $15.59 to $25.46 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of $42,379 or $24.75 per square foot of living area land included which is within the range on a per-square-foot price of the three best comparables in the record. Based on this record the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not appropriate.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 24, 2011

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board’s decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.