



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Steven & Evelyn Tobin
DOCKET NO.: 08-02077.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 18-04-230-017

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Steven & Evelyn Tobin, the appellants, by attorney Clyde B. Hendricks in Peoria, and the Peoria County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Peoria County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$2,400
IMPR.: \$9,270
TOTAL: \$11,670

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a one-story frame dwelling containing 1,271 square feet of living area including a finished attic area. The dwelling was built in 1900. Features include a basement and a 960-square-foot garage.

The appellants submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of the claim the appellants submitted information on three comparable properties. The appellants' comparables consist of one-story or two-story frame dwellings. They were built from 1900 to 1920. They contain 1,176 or 1,764 square feet of living area. All have basements, and two have fireplaces and garages. The comparables sold from June 2007 to June 2008 for \$17,500 to \$27,500 or \$14.88 to \$22.53 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's assessment of \$11,670 was disclosed. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$35,225 or \$27.71 per square foot of living area including land using Peoria County's 2008 three-year median level of assessments of 33.13%.

In support of the subject's assessment the board of review presented descriptions and sale price information on three comparable properties. They consist of one-story frame dwellings that were built in 1900 or 1928. The dwellings have 1,185 to 1,668 square feet of living area. All have basements, two have garages, and one has central air conditioning and a fireplace. The board of review's comparables sold from July to October 2007 for \$35,000 to \$44,900 or \$23.38 to \$34.89 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal the appellants submitted MLS listing sheets related to the board of review's comparable properties and highlighted differences between the subject and the board of review's comparables. The appellants indicated the board of review's comparable #1 sold six months earlier in March 2007 for \$25,000, more than \$20,000 less than the September 2007 sale the board of review cites. The MLS sheets provided by the appellants also indicated the board of review's comparable #2 sold in December 2004 for \$12,001, about a third of what it sold for in July 2007 as reported by the board of review. The MLS sheets for the two sales highlight the improvements to the comparable in the interim between the sales. The appellants indicated the board of review's comparable #3 is in a different section of town. The appellants also argued that it is unfair to compare owner-occupied homes with rental properties such as the subject.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellants argued the subject is overvalued. When market value is the basis of the appeal, the value must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. Winnebago County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 313 Ill. App. 3d 179, 183, 728 N.E.2d 1256 (2nd Dist. 2000). After an analysis of the evidence, the Board finds the appellants have not met this burden.

The record contains information on sales and assessments of six suggested comparable properties for the Board's consideration. The appellants' comparable #1 and the board of review's comparable #3 are significantly larger than the subject. The remaining four comparables are similar in size and design to the subject and are generally similar to the subject in most other property characteristics. These four comparables sold for \$17,500 to \$44,900 or \$14.88 to \$34.89 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's estimated market value of \$35,225 or \$27.71 per square foot of living area including land is well within that range. The appellant pointed out that improvements were made to the board of review's comparable #2 prior to its July 2007 sale and suggested improvements may have been made to the board of review's comparable #1 before its September 2007 sale. Those improvements are perhaps reflected in

their per-square-foot selling prices being higher than the subject's estimated market value per square foot. After considering the evidence the Board finds the appellants have not proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject is overvalued and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario M. Louie

Member

Shawn P. Lerski

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 23, 2010

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.