



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Shamita Bansore & Deepak Jajoo  
DOCKET NO.: 07-29828.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 07-20-315-005-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Shamita Bansore & Deepak Jajoo, the appellants, by attorney Mitchell L. Klein of Schiller Klein PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:     \$ 7,505**  
**IMPR.:    \$ 56,526**  
**TOTAL:    \$ 64,031**

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction containing 3,498 square feet of living area. The dwelling is two years old. Features of the home include a full, unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a three-car attached garage.

The appellants' appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellants submitted information on three comparable properties described as two-story frame, masonry, or frame and masonry dwellings that are either one or two years old. The comparables have the same assigned neighborhood code as the subject and are located from 0.23 to 0.41 miles from the subject. The comparable dwellings range in size from 3,498 to 3,637 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a three-car attached garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$15.86 to \$15.92 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$16.16 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. On its grid analysis, the board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties consisting of one or two-story frame and masonry dwellings that range in age from one to forty-seven years old. The comparables have the same assigned neighborhood code as the subject and are located one-quarter mile from the subject. The dwellings range in size from 1,278 to 3,498 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a fireplace and a garage, either one or three-car, and three dwellings have central air conditioning. One dwelling has a slab foundation, and three have full basements, one of which is finished. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$3.62 to \$16.51 per square foot of living area. The comparable assessed at \$3.62 per square foot of living area has an assessment that reflects new construction. As part of its evidence, the board of review disclosed that the subject property sold in December 2007 for \$650,000. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellants contend unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellants have not met this burden.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of seven equity comparables that had the same assigned neighborhood code as the subject. The appellants' comparables numbered two and three had different exterior construction than the subject and received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The comparable numbered four by the board of review had an improvement assessment that reflected new construction and also received reduced weight. The comparable numbered three by the board of review differed from the subject in almost all respects and received little weight in the Board's analysis. The Board finds the appellants' comparable numbered one and the comparable numbered one by the board of review were identical to the subject in size, design, exterior construction, age, and features. The comparable numbered two by the board of review, despite being somewhat smaller than the subject, was also very similar in age, design, exterior construction, and most features. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$15.86 to \$16.51 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$16.16 per square foot of

living area falls within the range established by the most similar comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Ronald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fern*

Member

*Frank A. Huff*

Member

*Mario Morris*

Member

*Shawn R. Lerbis*

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 18, 2011

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.