FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Anthony Lapasso
DOCKET NO.: 07-29376.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 04-36-314-010-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Anthony Lapasso, the appellant, by attorney Sonja R. Johnson, of Much Shelist in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **a reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	$ 13,260
IMPR.:	$ 46,432
TOTAL:	$ 59,692

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a one-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 1,861 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 57 years old. Features of the home include a full, finished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace, and a two-car garage.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on four comparable properties described as one-story frame, masonry, or frame and masonry dwellings that range in age from 50 to 57 years old. The comparables have the same assigned neighborhood code as the subject. Two comparables are located in the same tax block as the subject property, and the other two are located near the subject property. The comparable dwellings range in size from 1,876 to 2,210 square feet of living area. Two dwellings have partial, unfinished basements, and two have finished basements, either full or partial. Each comparable has a garage; three have one or two fireplaces; and two have central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from $23.16 to $25.00 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is $31.03 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on three comparable properties consisting of one or two-story frame or frame and masonry dwellings that range in age from 56 to 73 years old. The comparables have the same assigned neighborhood code as the subject. The dwellings range in size from 1,208 to 2,050 square feet of living area. Each comparable has one or two fireplaces; a garage; and a full basement, one of which is finished. Two dwellings have central air conditioning. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from $31.03 to $34.00 per square foot of living area. As part of its evidence, the board of review disclosed that the subject property sold for $625,000 in August 2004. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In rebuttal, the appellant's attorney stated that the appellant's comparables were located closer to the subject than the comparables submitted by the board of review. In addition, the appellant's attorney mentioned that the subject property's 2008 improvement assessment had been reduced by the board of review.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has met this burden.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of seven equity comparables. The comparables numbered two and three by the board of review were much smaller than the subject and received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The comparable numbered one by the board of review was very similar to the subject in design, age, and features and was generally similar in location, size, and exterior construction. The Board finds the appellant's comparables were most similar to the subject in location. They were also very similar in age and design and generally similar in size, features, and exterior construction. Due to their similarities to the subject, the appellant's comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from $23.16 to $25.00 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of $31.03 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the most similar comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when

compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is not equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 18, 2011

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board’s decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.