



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Mary Langdon
DOCKET NO.: 07-29119.001-I-1
PARCEL NO.: 12-19-100-017-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Mary Langdon, the appellant, by attorney Thomas J. Thorson in Oak Park, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$144,356
IMPR: \$105,844
TOTAL: \$250,200

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of 94,351 square foot parcel of land improved with a 28,954 square foot masonry industrial building. It was built in 1906. The appellant, via counsel, argued that the fair market value of the subject was not accurately reflected in its assessed value.

In support of the market value argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal by James A. Matthews and Jennifer Soto-Burrell of James A. Matthews, Inc. The report indicates Matthews and Soto-Burrell are State of Illinois certified general appraisers. The appraisers indicated the subject has an estimated market value of \$695,000 as of January 1, 2007. The appraisal report utilized the sales comparison approach to value to estimate the market value for the subject property. The appraisal finds the subject's highest and best use is its current use.

Under the sales comparison approach, the appraiser analyzed the sales of four one-story industrial buildings located within the subject's market. The properties contain between 28,954 to 40,000 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from July 2005 to December 2007 for prices ranging from \$700,000 to \$900,000 or from \$17.50 to \$25.71 per square foot of building

area, including land. The appraiser adjusted each of the comparables for pertinent factors. Based on the similarities and difference of the comparables when compared to the subject, the appraiser estimated a value for the subject under the sales comparison approach of \$24.00 per square foot of building area, including land or \$695,000, rounded.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$270,923 was disclosed. The subject's final assessment reflects a fair market value of \$752,564 when the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance for class 5b property of 36% is applied. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on five suggested comparables located within the subject's market. The properties consist of one-story industrial buildings that range in size from 26,101 to 32,800 square feet of building area. The comparables sold from March 2004 to November 2006 for prices ranging from \$910,000 to \$1,425,000 or from \$27.74 to \$54.60 per square foot of building area, including land.

At hearing, the appellant's attorney argued that the appraisal is the best evidence of the subject's market value. He also noted that the appraisal indicates the subject is highly depreciated. The board of review's representative submitted that the subject property is within the range of his suggested comparables.

After hearing the testimony and reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

When overvaluation is claimed the appellant has the burden of proving the value of the property by a preponderance of the evidence. National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 331Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002); Winnebago County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 313 Ill.App.3d 179 (2nd Dist. 2000). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal, a recent arm's length sale of the subject property, recent sales of comparable properties, or recent construction costs of the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.65(c). Having considered the evidence presented, the PTAB concludes that the evidence indicates a reduction is warranted.

In determining the fair market value of the subject property, the PTAB finds the best evidence to be the appellant's appraisal. The appellant's appraiser utilized the sales comparison approach to value in determining the subject's market value. The PTAB finds this appraisal to be persuasive for the appraiser: has experience in appraising; personally inspected the subject property and reviewed the property's history; and used similar properties in the sales comparison approach while providing sufficient detail regarding each sale as well as adjustments that were necessary.

The PTAB gives little weight to the board of review's comparables as the information provided was unadjusted raw sales data.

Therefore, the PTAB finds the subject had a market value of \$695,000 for the 2007 assessment year. Since the market value of this parcel has been established, the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance for class 5b property of 36% will apply. In applying this level of assessment to the subject, the total assessed value is \$250,200 while the subject's current total assessed value is above this amount. Therefore, the PTAB finds that a reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 22, 2012

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.