



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: 555 Roscoe Associates
DOCKET NO.: 07-28717.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 14-21-310-003-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are 555 Roscoe Associates, the appellant, by attorney William I. Sandrick, of Sandrick Law Firm LLC in Calumet City; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 29,236
IMPR.: \$ 137,670
TOTAL: \$ 166,906

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a three-story multi-family building of masonry construction containing 6,999 square feet of building area. The building is 86 years old. Features of the building include five apartment units, a full unfinished basement, and a three and one-half car garage.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. When the appellant completed section 2.e of the residential appeal form, he indicated that the appeal was being based on comparable sales. However, the evidence submitted by the appellant indicated that the appeal was based on assessment inequity. The appellant submitted information on three comparable properties described as three-story masonry multi-family buildings that range in age from 80 to 88 years old. The comparables have the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject. The comparables range in size from 8,606 to 13,338 square feet of building area, and each has four apartment units. Each comparable has a full basement finished for an apartment and a garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$13.12 to \$18.66 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$19.67 per square foot of building area. Based on this evidence, the

appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties consisting of three-story masonry multi-family buildings that range in age from 82 to 93 years old. The comparables all have the same neighborhood and classification codes as the subject, and two are located one-quarter mile from the subject. The comparables range in size from 6,102 to 7,367 square feet of building area, and they have three or four apartment units. Three buildings have full unfinished basements, and one has a full basement finished for an apartment. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$19.74 to \$20.93 per square foot of building area. One comparable has a garage. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

Both parties presented assessment data on a total of seven equity comparables. The appellant's comparables were much larger than the subject and received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. The comparable numbered three by the board of review was somewhat smaller than the subject and also received reduced weight. The Board finds the comparables numbered one, two, and four by the board of review were the most similar to the subject in size. They were also very similar in all other respects as well. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments of either \$19.74 or \$19.85 per square foot of building area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$19.67 per square foot of building area falls below these assessments. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

Shawn R. Lerbis

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 18, 2011

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.