



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jose Ojeda
DOCKET NO.: 07-27757.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 32-17-419-016-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jose Ojeda, the appellant, by attorney Stephanie Park, of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Rolling Meadows; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 1,205
IMPR.: \$ 24,340
TOTAL: \$ 25,545

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property contains a 3,767 square foot parcel of land improved with a two-story dwelling of frame construction containing 3,219 square feet of living area. The dwelling is one year old. Features of the home include three and three half-baths, and a full basement with an apartment.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted information on eight comparable properties described as one-story or two-story frame and masonry or masonry dwellings that range in age from 1 to 77 years old. The comparable dwellings range in size from 2,538 to 4,386 square feet of living area and contain two to four baths, two properties have air conditioning, and four have a one and one-half car to a two-car garage. The comparables are located in the same neighborhood as the subject property and have improvement assessments ranging from \$3.05 to \$4.33 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$7.56 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the

appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$25,545 was disclosed. The board of review submitted information on four comparable properties described as two-story frame and masonry or frame dwellings that range in age from 1 to 16 years old. The comparable dwellings range in size from 1,728 to 4,099 square feet of living area and contain two and one half-baths to four baths, a full basement, and three of the properties have air conditioning. The comparables are located within one quarter mile of the subject property and have improvement assessments ranging from \$3.11 to \$7.56 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$7.56 per square foot of living area.

In addition, the board of review submitted sales data indicating that comparable #3 sold in December 2005 for \$294,000. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

At hearing, the board of review submitted evidence showing that the appellant's comparables #2 and #4 and the board of review's comparables #1 and #4 were prorated and therefore, should not be considered as comparable to the subject property.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds comparable #1 submitted by the appellant and comparables #2 and #3 submitted by the board of review were most similar to the subject in age, style, size, exterior construction, features and age. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$3.05 to \$7.56 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$7.56 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the most similar comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require a mathematical equality. A practical, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barret, 20 Ill.2d. 395 (1960). Although the comparables submitted by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. For the foregoing reasons, the Board finds that the appellant has not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject property is inequitably assessed. Therefore, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject's assessment as established by the board of review is correct and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 30, 2012

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.