



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Sam Bellino
DOCKET NO.: 07-27401.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 02-27-108-009-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Sam Bellino, the appellant; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$11,100
IMPR.: \$48,201
TOTAL: \$59,301

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 28 year-old, two-story style dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction that contains 3,128 square feet of living area. Features of the home include central air conditioning, a fireplace, a two-car attached garage and a partial unfinished basement. The subject is located in Palatine, Palatine Township, Cook County.

The appellant submitted evidence to the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming assessment inequity regarding the subject's improvements as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted property detail sheets and a grid analysis of five comparable properties located one or two blocks from the subject. The comparables consist of two-story style frame or frame and masonry dwellings that range in age from 19 to 31 years and range in size from 2,918 to 3,498 square feet of living area. Features of the comparables include central air conditioning, a fireplace, two-car attached garages and full or partial basements, one of which has been finished as a recreation room. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$41,940 to \$50,371 or from \$13.73 to \$14.59 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested the

subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$44,261 or \$14.15 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's total assessment of \$59,301 was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted property record cards and a grid analysis of four comparable properties located within 1/4 mile of the subject. The comparables consist of two-story style frame and masonry dwellings that are 28 or 31 years old and range in size from 2,730 to 3,040 square feet of living area. Features of the comparables include central air conditioning, a fireplace two-car or 2.5-car garages and full or partial unfinished basements. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$41,660 to \$49,454 or from \$15.26 to \$16.27 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested the subject's assessment be confirmed.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Property Tax Appeal Board further finds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds the parties submitted nine comparables in support of their respective arguments. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 because it differed significantly in age when compared to the subject, and to the board of review's comparables #1 and #3 because they were significantly smaller in living area when compared to the subject. The Board finds the remaining comparables submitted by the parties were similar to the subject in design, age, size and most features and had improvement assessments ranging from \$42,272 to \$50,371 or from \$14.10 to \$16.27 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$48,201 or \$15.41 per square foot of living area falls within this range.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one,

is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity, which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence.

In conclusion, the Board finds the appellant has failed to prove assessment inequity by clear and convincing evidence and the subject's assessment as determined by the board of review is correct and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

J.R.

Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 23, 2011

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.