



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Jennifer Stone
DOCKET NO.: 07-26826.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 05-07-415-022-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Jennifer Stone, the appellant(s); and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$35,136
IMPR.: \$110,710
TOTAL: \$145,846

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 14,640 square foot parcel of land improved with an 81-year old, two-story, masonry, single-family dwelling containing five and one-half baths, air conditioning, a fireplace, and a full, finished basement. The appellant argued unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted descriptions and assessment information on a total of four properties suggested as comparable and located within one and one-half miles of the subject. The properties are described as two-story, masonry, frame, or frame and masonry, single-family dwellings with between two and four and one-half baths, one or four fireplaces, a partial or full basement with three finished, and, for three properties, air conditioning. The properties range: in age from 77 to 92 years; in size from 2,992 to 3,926 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$26.78 to \$38.11 per square foot of living area. Colored photographs of the subject and the suggested comparables, a map

showing the proximity of the properties to one another, and several proposed assessments based on various methods were also submitted.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's improvement assessment of \$170,109 or \$58.56 per square foot of living area was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review presented the description and assessment information on the subject property and indicated the subject sold for \$2,050,000 in August 2007. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The appellant submitted further evidence on November 3, 2010. However, this evidence was not timely submitted and will not be given any weight by the PTAB.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the PTAB finds the appellant has met this burden.

The appellant submitted a total of four properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The PTAB finds the appellant's comparables #1, #2, and #3 most similar to the subject in location, size, age, design, and amenities. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the PTAB's analysis. The properties are masonry or frame, two-story, single-family dwellings located within three-quarters of a mile of the subject. The properties range: in age from 78 to 92 years; in size from 2,992 to 3,518 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$26.78 to \$38.11 per square foot of living area. In comparison, the subject's improvement assessment of \$58.56 per square foot of living area is above the range of these comparables. The remaining comparable was given less weight due to disparities in size and location. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is not supported and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Ronald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Mario Morris

Member

Shawn R. Lerbis

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 18, 2011

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.