



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Yakov Goldin  
DOCKET NO.: 07-26503.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 10-23-312-063-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Yakov Goldin, the appellant, by attorney Christopher G. Walsh, Jr. in Chicago, and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$6,969  
**IMPR.:** \$26,665  
**TOTAL:** \$33,634

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**ANALYSIS**

The subject property is improved with a 1-story dwelling of masonry construction containing 1,288 square feet of living area. The building is 51 years old and features a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning and a 2½-car garage.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. The appellant disclosed that the subject was purchased in February 2005 for \$335,000 or \$260.10 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment was disclosed. The subject's assessment of \$36,464 reflects a market value of \$363,187 or \$281.98 per square foot of living area including land when applying the 2007 three year median level of assessments for Cook County class 2 property of 10.04% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four equity comparables, none of which had been recently sold. The board of review also presented a list of 20 class 2-03 sales from 1992 through 2005 for prices ranging from \$116,000 to \$335,000. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant argued the subject property is overvalued based on its February 2005 sale price. When market value is the basis of the appeal, the value must be proven by a preponderance of the evidence. Winnebago County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 313 Ill.App.3d 179 183, 728 N.E.2d 1256 (2<sup>nd</sup> Dist. 2000). The Board finds the appellant has overcome this burden.

The Illinois Supreme Court has defined fair cash value as what the property would bring at a voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is ready, willing, and able to buy but not forced to do so. Springfield Marine Bank v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 44 Ill.2d. 428, (1970). A contemporaneous sale of property between parties dealing at arm's-length is a relevant factor in determining the correctness of an assessment and may be practically conclusive on the issue of whether an assessment is reflective of market value. Rosewell v. 2626 Lakeview Limited Partnership, 120 Ill.App.3d 369 (1<sup>st</sup> Dist. 1983), People ex rel. Munson v. Morningside Heights, Inc, 45 Ill.2d 338 (1970), People ex rel. Korzen v. Belt Railway Co. of Chicago, 37 Ill.2d 158 (1967); and People ex rel. Rhodes v. Turk, 391 Ill. 424 (1945).

The Property Tax Appeal Board finds this record shows the appellant purchased the subject property for \$335,000 in February 2005, 23 months prior to the subject's January 1, 2007 assessment date. The appellant claims the sale was an arm's-length transaction in that it was advertised and not a sale between family or related corporations. This claim was not refuted by the board of review. The Board gave little weight to the list of 20 suggested comparables sales contained in the board of review's submission of evidence, citing lack of detailed description for comparison to the subject sale dates, proximate location and the like. Based on this analysis, the Board finds the sale price of \$335,000 is the best evidence of market value in the record. Therefore a reduction in the subject's assessment based on overvaluation is warranted.

Since market value has been determined the 2007 three-year median level of assessments for Class 2 residential property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10.04% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue shall apply. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c) (2)).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

*Ronald R. Cuit*

Chairman

*K. L. Fern*

Member

*Frank A. Huff*

Member

*Mario Morris*

Member

*Shawn R. Lerbis*

Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 20, 2011

*Allen Castrovillari*

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.