**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION**
**ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Sam Lorenzo
DOCKET NO.: 07-26357.001-R-1 through 07-26357.006-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board (PTAB) are Sam Lorenzo, the appellant(s), by attorney Melissa K. Whitley, of Marino & Assoc., PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds ***no change*** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

| DOCKET NO | PARCEL NUMBER | LAND | IMPRVMT | TOTAL |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 07-26357.001-R-1 | 15-03-419-007-0000 | 1,176 | 4,991 | $6,167 |
| 07-26357.002-R-1 | 15-03-419-008-0000 | 1,176 | 4,991 | $6,167 |
| 07-26357.003-R-1 | 15-03-419-009-0000 | 1,176 | 4,991 | $6,167 |
| 07-26357.004-R-1 | 15-03-419-010-0000 | 1,505 | 4,991 | $6,496 |
| 07-26357.005-R-1 | 15-03-419-022-0000 | 1,021 | 4,991 | $6,012 |
| 07-26357.006-R-1 | 15-03-419-034-0000 | 2,015 | 1,319 | $3,334 |

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 10,082 square foot parcel improved with a 47-year-old, one-story, single-family dwelling of masonry construction containing 2,338 square feet of living area. Features of the residence include two and one-half bathrooms, central air-conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car attached garage. The subject is built on slab and located in Proviso Township, Cook County.

The appellant, through counsel, appeared before the PTAB arguing unequal treatment in the assessment process of the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this claim, the appellant submitted assessment data and descriptive information on four properties suggested as comparable to the subject. Based on the appellant's documents, the four suggested comparables consist of

one-story or one and one-half story, single-family dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry construction located within five blocks of the subject. The improvements range in size from 1,889 to 2,911 square feet of living area and range in age from 39 to 84 years old. The comparables contain from one to three and one-half bathrooms. Three comparables have a full-finished or unfinished basement, three comparables contain central air-conditioning, one comparable has a fireplace and three comparables contain a two-car garage. The improvement assessments range from $9.09 to $9.77 per square foot of living area. Based on the evidence submitted, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's total assessment of $34,343. The subject's improvement assessment is $26,274 or $11.24 per square foot of living area. In support of the assessment the board submitted property characteristic printouts and descriptive data on three properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The suggested comparables are improved with one-story, single-family dwellings of masonry construction with the same neighborhood code as the subject. The improvements range in size from 1,845 to 2,152 square feet of living area and range in age from 49 to 53 years old. The comparables contain two full bathrooms, a full-finished or unfinished basement and a two-car garage. Two comparables have central air-conditioning. The improvement assessments range from $12.68 to $12.95 per square foot of living area. Based on the evidence presented, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After hearing the testimony and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The appellant's argument was unequal treatment in the assessment process. The Illinois Supreme Court has held that taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review V. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). The evidence must demonstrate a consistent pattern of assessment inequities within the assessment jurisdiction. After an analysis of the assessment data, the PTAB finds the appellant has not overcome this burden.

The PTAB finds the appellant's comparables two and four and the board of review's comparables to be the most similar properties to the subject in the record. These five properties are similar to the subject in improvement size, age, amenities and location and have improvement assessments ranging from $9.11 to $12.95 per square foot of living area. The subject's per square foot improvement assessment of $11.24 falls within the range established by similar properties. The PTAB finds the appellant's two remaining comparables less similar to the subject in age and/or exterior construction and accorded less weight. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' suggested comparables when compared to the subject, the Board

finds the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is supported by the most similar properties contained in the record.

As a result of this analysis, the PTAB finds the appellant has failed to adequately demonstrate that the subject dwelling was inequitably assessed by clear and convincing evidence and a reduction is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: July 23, 2010

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board’s decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.