



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Sue Rosengrad
DOCKET NO.: 07-26348.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 17-32-200-018-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Sue Rosengrad, the appellant(s), by attorney Lisa A. Marino, of Marino & Assoc., PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 5,118
IMPR.: \$16,691
TOTAL: \$21,809

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property consists of a 2,976 square foot parcel of land improved with a 100-year old, two-story, masonry, multi-family dwelling. The improvement contains 1,630 square feet of living area, two full baths, and a full unfinished basement. The appellant argued unequal treatment in the assessment process as the basis of this appeal.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted descriptions and assessment information as well as black and white photographs, on a total of three properties suggested as comparable. The properties are located within one mile to two miles of the subject. The properties are improved with frame or masonry construction, multi-family dwellings. They have two full bathrooms and range in age from 118 to 128 years; in size from 1,640 to 2,052 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessment from \$5.46 to \$8.44 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is \$10.24 per square foot.

The properties also contain: full basements; two unfinished and one with an apartment, and two-car garage area for two of the properties. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's improvement assessment of \$16,691 or \$10.24 per square foot of living area was disclosed. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four properties suggested as comparable and located within one quarter mile of the subject. The properties are improved with two-story, masonry, multi-family dwellings. They range: in age from 103 to 118 years; in size from 1,596 to 1,734 square feet of living area; and in bathrooms with two full baths. The properties also include full unfinished basements. The properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$10.73 to \$13.81 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the PTAB finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The parties presented a total of seven properties suggested as comparable to the subject. The PTAB finds the board of review's comparables most similar to the subject in improvement size, design, proximity, exterior construction, and age. These comparables ranged in improvement assessments from \$10.73 to \$13.81 per square foot. The subject's improvement assessment at \$10.24 per square foot is below the range established by these comparables. Further, the board accorded diminished weight to the remaining properties due to a disparity in location; the appellants comparables were one to two miles distance from the subject. Therefore, after considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds that the appellant has not demonstrated that the subject is inequitably assessed. The Board finds that the subject's per square foot improvement assessment is supported and a reduction in the improvement assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 28, 2012



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.