



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: John Temmerman
DOCKET NO.: 07-26345.001-R-1 through 07-26345.003-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Temmerman, the appellant(s), by attorney Patrick J. Cullerton, of Thompson Coburn LLP in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
07-26345.001-R-1	10-15-312-021-0000	5,090	18,527	\$ 23,617
07-26345.002-R-1	10-15-312-022-0000	5,090	18,527	\$ 23,617
07-26345.003-R-1	10-15-312-037-0000	3,917	0	\$ 3,917

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property contains two parcels containing 8,484 square feet of land improved with a one-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction containing 2,046 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 53 years old. Features of the home include one and one half-baths, a partial basement with a formal recreation room, one fireplace and a two-car garage.

The appellant's appeal is based on unequal treatment in the assessment process. The appellant submitted description and assessment information on four comparable properties described as one-story frame or masonry dwellings that range in age from 51 to 125 years old. The comparable dwellings range in size from 1,956 to 2,255 square feet of living area. The comparables contain a one and one half-baths to a three and one half-baths, two properties have air conditioning, two properties have a fireplace, and a one-car to two-car garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$15.67 to \$17.64 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment is

\$18.11 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's improvement assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject's final assessment of \$51,151 was disclosed. The board of review presented descriptions and assessment information on four comparable properties consisting of one-story frame and masonry dwellings that range in age from 48 to 53 years old. The dwellings range in size from 1,894 to 1,988 square feet of living area. The comparables have one and one half-baths to three baths, a partial basement, a fireplace, and a one-car to two-car garage. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$18.79 to \$20.39 per square foot of living area.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The appellant contends unequal treatment in the subject's improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. Taxpayers who object to an assessment on the basis of lack of uniformity bear the burden of proving the disparity of assessment valuations by clear and convincing evidence. Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). After an analysis of the assessment data, the Board finds the appellant has not met this burden.

The Board finds comparables #1, #2 and #4 submitted by the appellant and comparables #1, #3 and #4 submitted by the board of review were most similar to the subject in style, exterior construction, features and age. Due to their similarities to the subject, these comparables received the most weight in the Board's analysis. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$15.67 to \$20.39 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$18.11 per square foot of living area is within the range established by the most similar comparables. After considering adjustments and the differences in both parties' comparables when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is equitable and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Donald R. Cuit

Chairman

K. L. Fern

Member

Frank A. Huff

Member

Marko M. Louie

Member

J. R.

Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 28, 2012

Allen Castrovillari

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.